## Effect of Carbohydrate-Restricted Diet on Glucose Tolerance in Streptozotocin-Induced Diabetic Rats

Shunsuke Higaki<sup>1</sup>, Reiko Inai<sup>2</sup>, and Tatsuhiro Matsuo

#### Abstract

Diabetes is a chronic disease that occurs when insulin production by the pancreas is reduced or when the body cannot effectively use the produced insulin. Type 2 diabetes is a serious problem among middle-aged and elderly individuals. Therefore, a healthy and balanced diet, moderate exercise, and proper weight control are effective in preventing and alleviating type 2 diabetes. In recent years, a carbohydrate-restricted diet (a very low-carbohydrate diet) has been recognized as effective for the prevention and alleviation of diabetes. In this study, we investigated the effects of moderate and severe carbohydrate-restricted diets on glucose tolerance in streptozotocin (STZ) -induced diabetic rats, an insulin-deficient diabetes model. Forty-eight male Wistar rats (3-week-old) were obtained, half of which were administered STZ to induce diabetes. Both groups of rats were fed moderate and severe carbohydrate-restricted diets for four weeks. We confirmed that both moderate and severe carbohydrate-restricted diets increased fasting serum glucose levels and did not improve glucose tolerance in STZ-induced diabetic rats. However, firm conclusions regarding carbohydrate restriction have not yet been reached, and further research is needed to investigate the long-term effects and metabolic mechanisms of carbohydrate restriction.

Key Words: Carbohydrate restriction, diabetes, glucose tolerance, streptozotocin, rat

#### Introduction

Diabetes is a chronic disease that occurs when insulin production by the pancreas is reduced or when the body cannot effectively use the produced insulin<sup>(1)</sup>. According to the International Diabetes Federation (IDF), 463 million people worldwide had diabetes in 2019, with an estimated 382 million people in 2013 and 108 million in  $1980^{(1-3)}$ . The number of patients with diabetes is rapidly increasing, and is projected to reach 578 million by 2030 and 700 million by 2045. Type 2 diabetes accounts for approximately 90% of cases<sup>(1)</sup>. This type of disease is common among middle-aged and elderly individuals and is a preventable lifestyle-related disease<sup>(4,5)</sup>. Therefore, a healthy and balanced diet, moderate exercise, proper weight control, and smoking cessation are effective in preventing and alleviating type 2 diabetes<sup>(6)</sup>. The World Health Organization (WHO) estimated that diabetes caused 1.5 million deaths in 2012, making it the eighth leading cause of death globally<sup>(1)</sup>. However, an additional 2.2 million deaths

worldwide have been attributed to increased risks of hyperglycemia, cardiovascular disease, renal failure, and other related complications<sup>(1,7)</sup>, all of which often lead to premature death<sup>(8)</sup>.

In recent years, a carbohydrate-restricted diet (very low-carbohydrate diet) has been recognized as an effective diet for the prevention and alleviation of lifestyle-related diseases, such as diabetes<sup>(9)</sup>. This diet restricts carbohydrate consumption to a lesser extent than usual diets. Therefore, carbohydrate-rich foods (including sugar, bread, and pasta) are restricted and replaced with foods high in fat and protein (meat, poultry, fish, shellfish, eggs, cheese, nuts, seeds, etc.)<sup>(10)</sup>. The anti-diabetic effects of a carbohydrate-restricted diet are based on the suppression of postprandial hyperglycemia, resulting in glycation and oxidative stress, which may lead to complications such as cardiovascular diseases<sup>(11, 12)</sup>. This is because carbohydrates are the only nutrients that strongly increase postprandial blood glucose concentration except in diabetes<sup>(12, 13)</sup>. In 2013, the American Diabetes Association (ADA) recommended a carbohydrate-restricted diet

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Human Sciences, Hokkaido Bunkyo University, 5–196–1 Koganechuo, Eniwa Hokkaido 061–1449, Japan <sup>2</sup>Department of Food Science and Nutrition, Nara Women's University, Kitauoyanishimachi, Nara, Nara 630–8263, Japan as the most appropriate option for diabetes treatment<sup>(14)</sup>.

However, there are also negative views regarding carbohydrate-restricted diets. The Japan Diabetes Society (JDS) does not recommend the adoption of a carbohydrate-restricted diet because of the absence of clinical data showing that carbohydrate-restricted diets help alleviate diabetes<sup>(5)</sup>. The JDS does not allow a carbohydrate intake of less than 50% of the total energy intake<sup>(15)</sup>. The ability of Japanese people to secrete insulin is lower than that of European and American people. Therefore, even patients with mild obesity may not cope with the increased need for insulin owing to insulin resistance, leading to diabetes<sup>(16)</sup>. In insulin resistance, fasting plasma glucose levels are often normal, and severe hyperglycemia develops after a glucose load. This study investigated the effects of moderate and severe carbohydrate-restricted diets on glucose tolerance in streptozotocin (STZ)-induced diabetic rats, an insulin-deficient model of diabetes<sup>(17)</sup>.

#### Materials and Methods

All animal procedures were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee for Kagawa University (approval number: 14030).

#### Animals, diets, and experimental design

Forty-eight male Wistar rats (3-week-old) were obtained from Japan SLC (Shizuoka, Japan) and were individually caged at  $22 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C, with light from 08:00 to 20:00. As an acclimatization period for six weeks, they were fed MF, a commercial rodent diet (Oriental Yeast Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan), and had access to water ad libitum until they were six weeks old (after three weeks of starting the acclimatization period). Then, the rats were meal-fed the MF from 8:00 h to 9:00 h and 20:00 h to 21:00 h for the next three weeks. One week after starting the acclimatization period, rats with equal mean body weight were randomized into two groups, the STZ-injected (diabetic) and non-injected (normal) groups. STZ-induced diabetic rats, which exhibited impaired insulin secretion due to β-cell destruction, were generated by intraperitoneal injection of 5 mg/5 mL/kg STZ (FUJIFILM Wako Chemicals, Osaka, Japan), and normal rats were injected with 5 mL/kg saline. Three weeks after injection, STZ-injected rats were bled from the tail vein using a capillary tube after overnight starvation, plasma glucose levels were measured, and diabetes was confirmed (glucose level > 140 mg/dL)<sup>(18)</sup>. STZ-injected rats with a glucose level of less than 140 mg/dL were re-injected STZ, and all STZ-injected rats were confirmed to develop diabetes within six weeks of the acclimatization period. Rats in the normal (N) and diabetic (D) groups were divided into three sub-groups (n=8 each) with equal mean body weight and mean fasting plasma glucose levels. The composition of the experimental diets was shown in Table 1. Control (CO), moderate carbohydrate-restricted (MCR), and severe carbohydrate-restricted (SCR) diets contained 55.0, 23.3, and 13.0% carbohydrates of energy, respectively. Soy protein provided by J-Oil Mills, Inc. (Kanagawa, Japan) was used as the protein source for the experimental diets. Vitamin and mineral mixtures were purchased from Oriental Yeast Co., Ltd. (Tokyo, Japan). Three sub-groups each of groups N and D (N-CO, N-MCR, N-SCR, D-CO, D-MCR, and D-SCR) were fed the experimental diets (Table 1) from 8:00 h to 9:00 h and 20:00 h to 21:00h with free access to water for four weeks. Body weights and food intake were recorded daily. On the fi-

Table 1.	Composition	of experimental	diets.

CO	MCR	SCR
280.0	365.0	550.0
3.0	3.0	3.0
519.9	237.9	59.6
50.0	50.0	50.0
50.0	250.0	250.0
35.0	35.0	35.0
10.0	10.0	10.0
50.0	47.0	40.3
2.0	2.0	2.0
0.1	0.1	0.1
1000.0	1000.0	1000.0
509.1	279.8	156.7
100.1	299.8	299.9
190.4	247.3	371.2
60.1	60.1	60.1
55.0	23.3	13.0
24.4	56.1	56.1
20.6	20.6	30.9
3.70	4.81	4.81
	280.0 3.0 519.9 50.0 50.0 35.0 10.0 50.0 2.0 0.1 1000.0 509.1 100.1 190.4 60.1 55.0 24.4 20.6	280.0 365.0   3.0 3.0   519.9 237.9   50.0 50.0   50.0 250.0   35.0 35.0   10.0 10.0   50.0 2.0   0.1 0.1   1000.0 1000.0   509.1 279.8   100.1 299.8   190.4 247.3   60.1 60.1   55.0 23.3   24.4 56.1   20.6 20.6

<sup>1</sup> Based on the AIN-76 mixture.

 $^{\rm 2}$  Carbohydrate, fat, and protein provide energy at 4, 9, and 4 kcal/g, respectively.

CO, MCR, and SCR are abbreviations for the control, moderate carbohydrate-restricted, and severe carbohydrate-restricted diets.

nal day, all rats were euthanized by decapitation at 9:00 h after overnight starvation and then dissected. Blood was collected to obtain the serum. The heart, liver, pancreas, kidneys, spleen, and abdominal adipose tissues (epididymal, perirenal, and mesenteric) were quickly excised.

#### Serum glucose, insulin, and pancreatic insulin analyses

Pancreatic insulin was extracted by the method described by Flock et al.<sup>(19)</sup>. The concentrations of fasting serum glucose and insulin, and pancreatic insulin level were determined using kits (Glucose C II -test, LBIS Rat Insulin ELISA Kit [FU-JIFILM Wako Pure Chemical Corporation, Osaka, Japan]).

#### Oral glucose tolerance test

After 3 weeks of feeding, oral glucose tolerance tests (OGTTs) were performed for each group of rats. Rats were weighed after 12 h of fasting. Subsequently, a 2 g/kg body weight freshly prepared 50% glucose solution was administered orally through a gavage needle. Blood samples were obtained from the tail vein using a capillary tube at baseline (0)

and 30, 60, 90, and 120 min after glucose administration. Plasma glucose concentration measurements were performed in the same manner as serum glucose measurements, as previously described.

#### Data analysis

All data were analyzed using two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the Tukey-Kramer test (Bell Curve for Excel, SSRI, Tokyo, Japan). Statistical significance was set at p < 0.05.

### **Results and Discussion**

Body weight, energy intake, and abdominal fat weight (Table 2)

Based on the ANOVA results, STZ injection dramatically decreased the initial and final body weights, weight gain, food intake, and food efficiency. Both severe and moderate carbohydrate restriction significantly increased final body weight, weight gain, and food efficiency. Final body weight was sig-

	Groups	СО	MCR	SCR	ANOVA		
					CR	STZ	CR x STZ
Body weights (g)							
Tu:tial	Ν	$163 \pm 8$	$162 \pm 6$	$163 \pm 7$		< 0.01	n.s.
Initial	D	$110 \pm 9^{**}$	$118 \pm 11^{**}$	$117 \pm 7^{**}$	n.s.		
Final	Ν	$178 \pm 9^{\text{b}}$	$226 \pm 9^{a}$	$211 \pm 10^{a}$	< 0.0E	< 0.0E	n.s.
rinai	D	$111 \pm 11^{**}$	$143 \pm 19^{**}$	$138 \pm 13^{**}$	< 0.05	< 0.05	
Color	Ν	$17 \pm 3$	$64 \pm 6$	$48 \pm 7$	< 0.05	< 0.01	n.s.
Gain	D	$1 \pm 5^{b^{**}}$	$25 \pm 9^{**}$	$21 \pm 9^{ab**}$			
	Ν	$29 \pm 2^{\text{b}}$	$43 \pm 3^{a}$	$39 \pm 3^{ab}$	< 0.05		n.s.
Energy intake (g/day)	D	$28 \pm 2^{\text{b}}$	$37 \pm 3^{a}$	$32 \pm 2^{ab}$		n.s.	
Abdominal fat weights (mg/g)							
Epididymal	Ν	$17 \pm 2^{\text{b}}$	$25 \pm 2^{a}$	$22 \pm 2^{a}$	< 0.01 < 0.01	< 0.01	n.s.
Epididyillai	D	$4 \pm 1^{***}$	$14 \pm 6^{**}$	$12 \pm 2^{a^{**}}$		< 0.01	
Perirenal	Ν	$11 \pm 2^{\text{b}}$	$24 \pm 2^{a}$	$20 \pm 2^{a}$			
	D	$1 \pm 1^{**}$	$9 \pm 4^{a**}$	$8 \pm 2^{**}$	< 0.01	< 0.01	n.s.
	Ν	$14 \pm 1^{\text{b}}$	$20 \pm 1^{a}$	$19 \pm 2^{a}$	.0.01	. 0.01	
Mesenteric	D	$3 \pm 1^{**}$	$10 \pm 3^{**}$	$10 \pm 2^{a^{**}}$	< 0.01 < 0.01	n.s.	

Table 2. Body weights, energy intake, and abdominal fat weights in each group of rats.

Data are the means  $\pm$  SE for 8 rats.

Superscripts indicate statistical differences within the row (P < 0.05). \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01 vs. N group. n.s., not significant.

CO, MCR, and SCR are abbreviations for the control, moderate carbohydrate-restricted, and severe carbohydrate-restricted groups.

N and D are abbreviations for normal and diabetic rats. CR and STZ are abbreviations for carbohydrate restriction and streptozotocin injection.

nificantly higher in the D-MCR and D-SCR groups than in the D-CO group. No interaction between STZ injection and carbohydrate restriction was detected in terms of body weight or food intake. STZ injection significantly increased the relative weights of abdominal adipose tissues (epididymal, perirenal, and mesenteric). Both severe and moderate carbohydrate restriction significantly increased the relative weights of the epididymal, perirenal, and mesenteric adipose tissues, which were significantly higher in the N-MCR and N-SCR groups than in the N-CO group, and were significantly higher in the D-MCR and D-SCR groups than in the D-CO group. No interaction between STZ injection and carbohydrate restriction was detected for any of the relative tissue weights.

# Serum glucose, insulin, and pancreatic insulin levels (Table 3)

Based on the ANOVA results, STZ injection significantly increased the concentrations of serum glucose and insulin and decreased pancreatic insulin content. Carbohydrate restriction significantly increased the serum glucose concentration, which was higher in the D-MCR and D-SCR groups than in the D-CO group. We detected an interaction between STZ injections and carbohydrate restriction in serum glucose concentration, which was increased in the D groups by carbohydrate restriction.

## Plasma glucose concentration after oral glucose administration (Table 4)

Three weeks after feeding, STZ injection significantly increased the increments in plasma glucose levels and the area under the curve (AUC) after glucose administration, whereas carbohydrate restriction did not influence these parameters. At almost all time points, the increments in glucose levels were significantly higher in the D groups than in the N groups, except 30 min after administration. We detected an interaction between STZ injections and carbohydrate restriction in the increase in plasma glucose concentration 120 min after administration, which was suppressed by carbohydrate restriction. The AUC in the STZ-injected rats was the lowest in the D-MCR group, but the difference was not significant.

Some studies have demonstrated the anti-obesity and anti-diabetic effects of carbohydrate-restricted diets<sup>(20-22)</sup>. Therefore, we expected that the ingestion of a carbohydrate-restricted diet as a therapeutic diet for diabetes could decrease fasting blood glucose levels and improve glucose tolerance in patients with diabetes. Unexpectedly, carbohydrate restriction increased fasting serum glucose levels despite no effects on fasting serum insulin and pancreatic insulin levels (Table 3). In addition, carbohydrate restriction did not improve glucose tolerance (Table 4) in STZ-induced diabetic rats. These results suggest that carbohydrate restriction exacerbates insulin resistance in insulin-deficient diabetic rats. Several studies have shown that a carbohydrate-restricted diet prevents type 2 diabetes, whereas other studies have shown opposite results<sup>(23-25)</sup>. Although it is recognized that dietary carbohydrate plays a role in the development of type 2 diabetes, it is unknown how much dietary carbohydrate is too much or sufficient to enhance type 2 diabetes in humans or animals on a carbohydrate-restricted diet.

A carbohydrate-restricted diet is inevitably a high-fat, high-protein diet. A high-protein diet has been shown to induce insulin resistance, possibly through the activation of the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) and S6kinase (S6K) signaling pathways<sup>(26, 27)</sup>. However, in the present study,

	C	СО	MCR	SCR	<i>P</i> -value		
	Groups				CR	STZ	CR x STZ
	Ν	$116 \pm 6$	$117 \pm 5$	$118 \pm 6$	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
Serum glucose (mg/dL)	D	$189 \pm 21^{b*}$	$383 \pm 35^{**}$	$344 \pm 51^{**}$			
Serum insulin (ng/mL)	Ν	$0.6 \pm 0.1$	$0.5 \pm 0.1$	$0.6 \pm 0.1$	n.s.	< 0.01	n.s.
	D	$0.1 \pm 0.1^*$	$0.1 \pm 0.1^*$	$0.1 \pm 0.0^{*}$			
Pancreatic insulin $(\mu g/mg)$	Ν	$0.16 \pm 0.05$	$0.18 \pm 0.03$	$0.15 \pm 0.03$	n.s.	< 0.01	n.s.
	D	$0.01 \pm 0.00^{*}$	$0.01 \pm 0.00^{*}$	$0.02 \pm 0.01^*$		< 0.01	

Table 3.	Concentrations of serum	glucose and insulin	concentrations, and	pancreatic insulin	content in each group of rats.

Data are the means  $\pm$  SE for 8 rats.

Superscripts indicate statistical differences within the row (P < 0.05). \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01 vs. N group. n.s., not significant.

CO, MCR, and SCR are abbreviations for the control, moderate carbohydrate-restricted, and severe carbohydrate-restricted groups.

N and D are abbreviations for normal and diabetic rats. CR and STZ are abbreviations for carbohydrate restriction and streptozotocin injection.

	Groups	СО	MCR	SCR -	<i>P</i> -value		
					CR	STZ	CR x STZ
Time after administration (min)							
0	Ν	$0.0 \pm 0.0$	$0.0 \pm 0.0$	$0.0 \pm 0.0$		n.s.	n.s.
0	D	$0.0 \pm 0.0$	$0.0 \pm 0.0$	$0.0 \pm 0.0$	n.s.		
00	Ν	$36.0 \pm 9.6$	$24.3 \pm 8.5$	35.4 ± 15.1		< 0.01	n.s.
30	D	162.6 ± 38.1**	$112.4 \pm 66.9$	$105.6 \pm 40.7$	n.s.		
40	Ν	$45.7 \pm 2.5$	$42.0 \pm 7.3$	$42.3 \pm 9.8$		1	
60	D	304.1 ± 30.0**	$200.4 \pm 72.2^*$	$377.5 \pm 138.8^*$	n.s.	< 0.01	n.s.
00	Ν	$34.0 \pm 3.2$	$36.0 \pm 5.6$	$30.8 \pm 7.0$		0.01	
90	D	$183.8 \pm 40.2^{**}$	$174.2 \pm 58.8^*$	212.8 ± 34.8 <sup>**</sup>	n.s.	< 0.01	n.s.
100	Ν	$20.2 \pm 4.5$	$30.2 \pm 5.1$	$51.0 \pm 7.1$			0.04
120	D	$202.0 \pm 30.4^{**}$	$178.6 \pm 37.6^{**}$	$102.6 \pm 18.3^*$	n.s.	< 0.01	< 0.01
	Ν	$63.0 \pm 5.9$	$60.2 \pm 9.1$	63.7 ± 13.1		0.01	
AUC	D	$375.8 \pm 40.0^{**}$	316.0 ± 62.0**	379.1 ± 62.0**	n.s.	< 0.01	n.s.

Table 4. Increase in plasma glucose concentrations (mg/dL) after oral glucose administration in each group of rats.

Data are the means  $\pm$  SE for 8 rats.

Superscripts indicate statistical differences within the row (P < 0.05). \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01 vs. N group. n.s., not significant.

CO, MCR, and SCR are abbreviations for the control, moderate carbohydrate-restricted, and severe carbohydrate-restricted groups.

N and D are abbreviations for normal and diabetic rats. CR and STZ are abbreviations for carbohydrate restriction and streptozotocin injection. AUC, area under the curve.

no differences in fasting glucose and insulin levels and glucose tolerance were observed between the D-MCR and D-SCR groups fed diets with different amounts of protein (20.6 and 30.9% energy ratio, respectively) (Tables 3 and 4). Therefore, the mTOR-S6K signaling pathway was not the study's likely mechanism of insulin resistance in our study.

We confirmed that both moderate and severe carbohydrate-restricted diets increased fasting serum glucose levels and did not improve glucose tolerance in STZ-induced diabetic rats. However, firm conclusions regarding carbohydrate restriction have not yet been reached, and further research is needed to investigate the long-term effects of carbohydrate restriction and metabolic mechanisms.

#### References

- World Health Organization: Global Report on Diabetes. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241565257 (2018).
- (2) Shi, Y., and Hu, F.B.: The global implications of diabetes and cancer. Lancet, 383, 1947–1948 (2014).
- (3) International Diabetes Federation: IDF Diabetes Atlas, 10th edition. https://diabetesatlas.org (2022).

- (4) Galaviz, K.I., Narayan, K.M., Lobelo, F., Weber, and M.B.: Lifestyle and the prevention of type 2 diabetes: A status report. Am. J. Lifestyle Med., 12, 4–20 (2018).
- (5) Hu, F.B.: Globalization of diabetes: The role of diet, lifestyle, and genes. Diabetes Care, 34, 1249–1257 (2011).
- (6) Asif, M.: The prevention and control the type-2 diabetes by changing lifestyle and dietary pattern. J. Educ. Health Promot., 3, 1 (2014).
- (7) Fan, W.: Epidemiology in diabetes mellitus and cardiovascular disease. Cardiovasc. Endocrinol., 6, 8-16 (2017).
- (8) Gill, J.R.: The certification of fatalities related to diabetes mellitus: a shot in the dark? Acad. Forensic. Pathol., 6, 184-190 (2016).
- (9) Churuangsuk, C., Lean, M.E., and Combet, E.: Low and reduced carbohydrate diets: challenges and opportunities for type 2 diabetes management and prevention. Proc. Nutr. Soc., 79, 498–513 (2020).
- (10) Pauley, M., Mays, C., Bailes, J.R., Schwartzman, M.L., Castle, M., McCoy, M., Patick, C., Preston, D., Nudelman, M.J., Denning, K.L., Bellner, L., and Werthammer, J.: Carbohydrate-restricted diet: A successful strategy for short-term management in youth with severe obesity-an

observational study. Metab. Syndr. Relat. Disord., 19, 281-287 (2021).

- (11) Monnier, L., Mas, E., Ginet, C., Michel, F., Villon, L., Cristol, J.P., and Colette, C.: Activation of oxidative stress by acute glucose fluctuations compared with sustained chronic hyperglycemia in patients with type 2 diabetes. JAMA, 295, 1681–1687 (2006).
- (12) Blaak, E.E., Antoine, J.M., Benton, D., Björck, I., Bozzetto, L., Brouns, F., Diamant, M., Dye, L., Hulshof, T., Holst, J.J., Lamport, D.J., Laville, M., Lawton, C.L., Meheust, A., Nilson, A., Normand, S., Rivellese, A.A., Theis, S., Torekov, S.S., and Vinoy S.: Impact of postprandial glycaemia on health and prevention of disease. Obes. Rev., 13, 923–984 (2012).
- (13) Bell, K.J., Smart, C.E., Steii, G.M., Brand-Miller, J.C., King, B., and Wolpert, H.A.: Impact of fat, protein, and glycemic index on postprandial glucose control in type 1 diabetes: Implications for intensive diabetes management in the continuous glucose monitoring era. Diabetes Care, 38,: 1008–1015 (2015).
- (14) American Diabetes Association: Standards of medical care of diabetes-2013. Diabetes Care, 36, S11-S66 (2013).
- (15) Japan Diabetes Society: Evidence-Based Practice Guideline for the Treatment for the Diabetes in Japan. Nankodo, Tokyo (2013).
- (16) Kawamori, R.: Diabetes trends in Japan. Diabetes Metab. Res. Rev., 18, S9-S13 (2002).
- (17) Furman, B.L.: Streptozotocin-induced diabetic mice and rats. Curr. Protoc. Pharmacol., 70, 5.47.1–5.47.20 (2015).
- (18) Bolzn, A.D., and Bianchi, M.S.: Genotoxicity of streptozotocin. Mutat. Res., 512, 121–134 (2002).
- (19) Flock, G., Baggio, L.L., Longuet, C., and Drucker, D.: Incretin receptors for glucagon-like peptide 1 and glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide are essential for the sustained metabolic actions of vildagliptin in mice. Diabetes, 56, 3006–3012 (2007).
- (20) Gardner, C.D., Kiazand, A., Alhassan, S., Kim, S., Stafford, R.S., Balise, R.R., Kraemer, H.C., and King, A.C.: Comparison of the Atkins, Zone, Ornish, and LEARN Diets for change in weight and related risk factors among overweight premenopausal women. The A to Z weight

loss study: A randomized trial. JAMA, 297, 297: 969-977 (2007).

- (21) Shai, I., Schwarzfuchs, D., Henkin, Y., Shahar, D.R., Witkow, S., Greenberg, I., Golan, R., Fraser, D., Bolotin, A., Vardi, H., Tangi-Rozental, O., Zuk-Ramot, R., Sarusi, B., Brickner, D., Scwartz, Z., Sheiner, E., Marko, R., Katorza, E., Thiery, J., Fiedler, G.M., Bluher, M., Stumvoll, M., Stampfer, M.J., and Dietary Intervention RandomizedControlledTrial (DIRECT) Group.: Weight loss with a low-carbohydrate, mediterranean, or low-fat diet. N. Engl. J. Med., 359, 229–241 (2008).
- (22) Anton, S.D., Hida, A., Keekin, K., Sowalsky, K., Karabetian, .C, Mutchie, H., Leeuwenburgh, C., Manini, T.M., and Barnett, T.E.: Effects of popular diets without specific calorie targets on weight loss outcomes: systematic review of findings from clinical trials. Nutrients, 9, 822 (2017).
- (23) Brand-Miller, J., and Buyken, A.E.: The glycemic index issue. Curr. Opin. Lipidol., 23, 62–67 (2012).
- (24) Weickert, M.O., and Pfeiffer, A.F.: Metabolic effects of dietary fiber consumption and prevention of diabetes. J. Nutr., 138, 439–442 (2008).
- (25) Liese, A.D., Schulz, M., Fang, F., Wolever, T.M., D'Agostino Jr, R.B., Sparks, K.C., and Mayer-Davis, E.: Dietary glycemic index and glycemic load, carbohydrate and fiber intake, and measures of insulin sensitivity, secretion, and adiposity in the Insulin Resistance Atherosclerosis Study. Diabetes Care, 28, 2832–2838 (2005).
- (26) Weickert, M.O., Roden, M., Isken, F., Hoffmann, D., Nowotny, P., Osterhoff, F., Blaut, M., Aloert, C., Gögebakan, O., Bumke-Vogt, C., Mueller, F., Machann, J., Barber, T.M., Petzke, K.J., Hierholzer, J., Hornemann, S., Kruse, M., Illner, A.K., Kohl, A., Loeffelholz, C.V., Arafat, A.M., Möhlig, M., and Pfeiffer, A.F.H.: Effects of supplemented isoenergetic diets differing in cereal fiber and protein content on insulin sensitivity in overweight humans. Am. J. Clin. Nutr., 94, 459–471 (2011).
- (27) Linn T, Santosa B, Grönemeyer D, Aygen S, Scholz N, Busch M, and Bretzel, R.G.: Effect of long-term dietary protein intake on glucose metabolism in humans. Diabetologia, 43, 1257–1265 (2000).

## 糖質制限食がストレプトゾトシン誘発性糖尿病ラットの耐糖能に及ぼす影響

## 檜垣俊介<sup>1</sup>·稲井玲子<sup>2</sup>·松尾達博

#### 要 約

糖尿病は、インスリン分泌不全およびインスリン抵抗性により高血糖状態が持続する慢性疾患である.2型糖尿病は 中高年者にとって深刻な問題であり、その予防・改善のためには、健康的でバランスの取れた食事、適度な運動、適切 な体重管理が必要となる.近年、糖尿病の予防・改善に糖質制限食(超低糖質食)が有効であることが報告されている. 本研究では、ストレプトゾトシン(STZ)誘発性糖尿病ラットの耐糖能に及ぼす中程度および厳しい糖質制限食の影響 を検討した.48匹の3週齢Wistar系雄ラットの半数にSTZを投与して糖尿病を誘発させ、両群のラットに中程度および厳 しい糖質制限食を4週間摂取させた。その結果、STZ誘発性糖尿病ラットにおいて、中程度および厳しい糖質制限食は いずれも空腹時血清グルコース濃度を増加させ、耐糖能を改善しなかった、糖質制限食に関する明確な結論はまだ得ら れていないため、糖質制限食の長期的な効果と代謝メカニズムを明らかにするためには、さらなる研究が必要である.