## Topological Classification

# of the Scattered Countable Metric Spaces of Length 3 

## by

Shinpei Ока


#### Abstract

Based upon a general theory we shall present a topological classification of the scattered countable metric spaces of length 3 . The number of atoms of length 4 is also given.


1. Preliminaries. Let us start with Cantor's well-known process of deriving. (cf Kuratowski [1]) Let $X$ be a topological space. Let $X^{(0)}=X$ and $X_{(0)}$ the set of the isolated points of $X^{(0)}$. If $\beta$ is a non-limit ordinal, let $X^{(\beta)}=X^{(\beta-1)}-X_{(\beta-1)}$ and $X_{(\beta)}$ the set of the isolated points of $X^{(\beta)}$, where $\beta-1$ means the ordinal preceding $\beta$. If $\beta$ is a limit ordinal, let $X^{(\beta)}=\bigcap_{\gamma<\beta} X^{(\nu)}$ and $X_{(\beta)}$ the set of the isolated points of $X^{(\beta)}$.

Each $X^{(\beta)}$ is a closed subset of $X$, and each $X_{(\beta)}$ is a discrete open subset of $X^{(\beta)}$.
A space $X$ is called scattered if $X^{(\alpha)}=\emptyset$ for some $\alpha$. The first ordinal $\alpha$ for which $X^{(\alpha)}$ vanishes is called the length of the scattered space $X$ and is denoted by leng $(X)$.

The following properties of a scattered space $X$ will be used in this paper implicitly and frequently. Let $\beta$ be an ordinal and $U$ an open set of $X$.
(1) $X^{(\beta)} \cap U=U^{(\beta)}$ and $X_{(\beta)} \cap U=U_{(\beta)}$ (, and hence we have the following two).
(2) leng $(U)=\beta$ if and only if $U \cap X^{(\beta)}=\emptyset$ and $U \cap X^{(\gamma)} \neq \emptyset$ for every $\gamma<\beta$.
(3) $X_{(\beta)}$ is dense in $X^{(\beta)}$.

A scattered countable metric space $X$ of length $\alpha$ has the following properties.
(4) The length $\alpha$ is a countable or finite ordinal. (For compact case, $\alpha$ is in addition a non-limit ordinal)
(5) If $\beta+1<\alpha$ then $\left|X_{(\beta)}\right|=\omega$ with $\omega$ the first countable ordinal identified with the countable cardinal. If $\beta+1=\alpha$ then $\left|X^{(\beta)}\right|=\left|X_{(\beta)}\right| \leq \omega$. ( For compact case, $\left|X^{(\beta)}\right|=$ $\left|X_{(\beta)}\right|<\omega$ furthermore.)

If the length $\alpha>0$ is a non-limit ordinal and $\left|X^{\alpha-1}\right|=\beta, 1 \leq \beta \leq \omega$, the pair ( $\alpha$, $\beta$ ) is called the type of $X$.

As for a compact countable metric space $X$, the Mazurkiewicz-Sierpiński theorem ([2], also see [1]) says that the topological type of $X$ is uniquely determined by its type ( $\alpha, \mathrm{n}$ ) $1 \leq n<\omega$.

## 2. General theory.

Definition 1. Let $X$ be a 0 -dimensional metric space and $p$ a point of $X . X$ is said to be self-similar at $p$ if every clopen set containing p is homeomorphic to $X$.

Proposition 1. $X$ is self-similar at $p$ if for any open neighborhood $U$ of $p$ there is a clopen set $V$ of $X$ such that $p \in V \subseteq U$ and $V \approx X$.
Proof. First note that a homeomorphism $f: X \rightarrow V$ can be taken so that $f(p)=p$. Indeed if not, say $f(p)=q \neq p$, take disjoint clopen neighborhoods $O_{p}, O_{q}$ of $p, q$ respectively so that $\mathrm{f}\left(O_{p}\right)=O_{q}$ and $O_{p} \cup O_{q} \subseteq \mathrm{~V}$, define a homeomorphism $g: V \rightarrow V$ by

$$
g(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
f(x) & \text { if } x \in \mathrm{O}_{p} \\
f^{-1}(x) & \text { if } x \in \mathrm{O}_{q} \\
x & \text { if otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

and redefine $f^{\prime}=g \circ f$. Let $W$ be a clopen set of $X$ containing $p$. To show $W \approx X$ let $U_{1}$ $\supseteq U_{2} \supseteq U_{3} \supseteq \cdots$ be a clopen neighborhood base of $p$. Take $m_{1}$ so that $U_{m_{1}} \subseteq W$ and take a clopen set $V_{1} \subseteq U_{m_{1}}$ containing $p$ and homeomorphic to $X$, with $h_{1}: X \rightarrow V_{1}$ a homeomorphism not moving $p$. Then take $m_{2}>m_{1}$ so that $\mathrm{U}_{m_{2}} \subseteq V_{1}-h_{1}(X-W)$ and take a clopen set $V_{2} \subseteq U_{m_{2}}$ containing $p$ and homeomorphic to $V_{1}$, with $h_{2}: V_{1} \rightarrow V_{2}$ a homeomorphism not moving $p$. Further take $m_{3}>m_{2}$ so that $U_{m_{3}} \subseteq V_{2}-h_{2} \circ h_{1}(X-W)$ and take a clopen set $V_{3} \subseteq U_{m_{3}}$ containing $p$ and homeomorphic to $V_{2}$, with $h_{3}: V_{2} \rightarrow V_{3}$ a homeomorphism not moving $p$.

Repeating this process we have a sequence $m_{1}<m_{2}<m_{3}<\cdots$ and a homeomorphism $h_{k} \circ h_{k-1} \circ \cdots \circ h_{1}: X-W \rightarrow h_{k} \circ h_{k-1} \circ \cdots \circ h_{1}(X-W) \subseteq U_{m_{k}-} U_{m_{k+1}}$ for each $k$. We can now define a homeomorphism $h: X \rightarrow W$ by

$$
h(x)= \begin{cases}h_{1}(x) & \text { if } x \in X-W \\ h_{k}(x) & \text { if } x \in h_{k-1} \circ h_{k-2} \circ \cdots \circ h_{1}(X-W) \\ x & \text { if otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Thus $X \approx W$, which completes the proof.
Definition 2. Let $\alpha>0$ be a non-limit ordinal and let $X$ be a scattered countable metric space of type $(\alpha, 1)$ with $\{p\}=X^{(\alpha-1)} . X$ is called an atom of length $\alpha$ if $X$ is self-similar at $p$. A topological sum of at most countably many homeomorphic atoms is called a molecule . A molecule of the form

$$
\overbrace{A \oplus A \oplus}^{n} \overbrace{\cdots}
$$

with $A$ an atom and $1 \leq n<\omega$ is denoted by $n A$. A molecule of the form

$$
\overbrace{A \oplus A \oplus A \oplus \cdots}^{\omega}
$$

with $A$ an atom is denoted by $\omega A$. A molecule $M$ homeomorphic to $\beta A$ with $A$ an atom and $1 \leq \beta \leq \omega$ is called an $A$-molecule. The $\beta$ is called the width of $M$ and denoted by $\operatorname{wid}(M)$.

Examples. The atom of length 1 is the one point space. To count the atoms of length 2 , let $X$ be a scattered countable metric space of type $(2,1)$ with $X^{(1)}=X_{(1)}=\{p\}$. Then $X$ admits just three topological types. Each type is characterized by the existence of a clopen neighborhood base $X=U_{1} \supseteq U_{2} \supseteq U_{3} \supseteq \cdots$ of p satisfying
(r) $\left|U_{m}-U_{m+1}\right|=1$ for every $m$, or
(r) $\left|U_{1}-U_{2}\right|=\omega$ and $\left|U_{m}-U_{m+1}\right|=1$ for every $m \geq 2$, or
(s) $\left|U_{\mathrm{m}}-U_{m+1}\right|=\omega$ for every $m$.

Type r , type $\mathrm{r}^{\prime}$ and type s correspond to compact case, non-compact locally compact case and non-locally compact case, respectively. The $X$ 's which admit clopen neighborhood bases satisfying (r), (r'), (s) are respectively denoted by $r, r^{\prime}, s$. Consequently the atoms of length 2 are $r$ and $s$.

Definition 3. $A$ space $X$ is said to absorb a space $Y$ if $X \approx X \oplus Y$. In particular, if $X$ is an atom of length $\alpha$ with $\{p\}=X^{(\alpha-1)}, X$ absorbs $Y$ if and only if $X$ includes a clopen set not containing $p$ and homeomorphic to $Y$. Thus, if a molecule $X$ includes a clopen set homeomorphic to a molecule $Y$ with leng $(Y)<\operatorname{leng}(X)$, then $X$ absorbs $Y$.

If $3 \leq \alpha<\omega_{1}$ there are infinitely many scattered countable metric spaces of type $(\alpha, 1)$. However we have

Theorem 1. Let $\alpha>0$ be a finite ordinal. Then the number of atoms having length $\alpha$ is finite.

Theorem 2. Let $\alpha>0$ be a finite ordinal and let $X$ be a scattered countable metric space of length $\alpha$. Then every point $p$ of $X$ has a clopen neighborhood which is selfsimilar at $p$.

Theorem 3. Let $\alpha>0$ be a finite ordinal and let $X$ be a scattered countable metric space of length $\alpha$. Then $X$ has a decomposition $D$ consisting of finitely many clopen molecules such that
(*) for each atom $A$, at most one $A$-molecule is a member of $D$, and each member of $D$ does not absorb the other member of $D$.

The decomposition $D$ is unique in the sense that if $D^{\prime}$ is another such decomposition then there is a bijection $\Phi: D \rightarrow D^{\prime}$ satisfying $M \approx \Phi(M)$ for every $M \in D$.

Examples. Theorem 1 and 2 do not hold if the length $\alpha>\omega$. Put $X=\left[0, \omega^{\omega}\right]$ and $\mathrm{A}_{n}=X-X_{(n)}, n=1,2,3, \ldots$, the subspace of $X$ obtained by removing the limit ordinals whose cofinality is $\omega^{n}$. Then each $\mathrm{A}_{n}$ is an atom of length $\omega+1$, and if $n<m$ then $A_{n} \neq A_{m}$ because

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(A_{n}\right)_{(n-1)} \cup\left(A_{n}\right)_{(n)}=X_{(n-1)} \cup X_{(n+1)} \approx \omega \mathrm{s} \\
& \text { but } \quad\left(A_{m}\right)_{(n-1)} \cup\left(A_{m}\right)_{(n)}=X_{(n-1)} \cup X_{(n)} \approx \omega r .
\end{aligned}
$$

As for Theorem 2, using $A_{n}$ above, define $B_{n}=A_{n}-\left\{\omega^{\omega}\right\}$ and $Y=\left(\oplus_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{n}\right) \cup\{p\}$ with the topology such that the topology of $\oplus_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{n}$ is not disturbed and $U_{m}=\left(\oplus_{n=m}^{\infty} B_{n}\right) \cup$ $\{p\}, m=1,2,3, \ldots$, is a clopen neighborhood base of the new point $p$. Then Y is a scattered countable metric space of type $(\omega+1,1)$ with $\{p\}=\mathrm{Y}^{(\omega)}$. The point $p$ has no clopen neighborhood in $Y$ which is self-similar at $p$. Indeed, $\mathrm{U}_{m} \neq \mathrm{U}_{m+1}$ for every $m$ because

$$
\left(U_{m}\right)_{(m-1)} \cup\left(U_{m}\right)_{(m)} \approx \omega s \oplus \omega r \quad \text { but } \quad\left(U_{m+1}\right)_{(m-1)} \cup\left(U_{m+1}\right)_{(m)} \approx \omega r
$$

To make the proof go smooth we shall give two easy technical lemmas.
Lemma 1. Let $X, R$ be spaces and $p$ a point of $X$. Let $X=U_{1} \supseteq U_{2} \supseteq U_{3} \supseteq \cdots$ be a clopen neighborhood base of $p$. Assume each $U_{m}-U_{m+1}$ is written

$$
U_{m}-\mathrm{U}_{m+1}=X_{m}^{0} \cup X_{m}^{1} \cup \cdots \cup X_{m}^{k m}\left(k_{m}=0 \text { may happen }\right)
$$

by finitely many mutually disjoint clopen sets $X_{m, 0}^{i}, 0 \leq i \leq k_{m}$, of $X$ such that

$$
X_{m}^{1} \approx X_{m}^{2} \approx \cdot \cdot \approx X_{m}^{k m} \approx R .
$$

If $\left|\left\{m \mid k_{m} \geq 1\right\}\right|=\omega$ then there is a clopen neighborhood base $X=V_{1} \supseteq V_{2} \supseteq V_{3} \supseteq \cdots$ of $p$ satisfying

$$
V_{m}-V_{m+1}=X_{m}^{0} \cup R_{m}
$$

for every $m$, where $R_{m}$ is a clopen set of $X$ such that $X_{m}^{0} \cap R_{m}=\emptyset$ and $R_{m} \approx R$.
Proof. Rewite $\left\{X_{m}^{i} \mid m=1,2,3, \ldots, 1 \leq i \leq k_{m}\right\}=\left\{X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, \ldots\right\}$ so that if $X_{m}^{i}=X_{n}, X_{m^{\prime}}^{i{ }^{\prime}}=X_{n^{\prime}}$ and $m<m^{\prime}$ then $n<n^{\prime}$. We have only to put

$$
V_{m}=\{p\} \cup\left(\cup_{j=m}^{\infty} X_{j}^{0}\right) \cup\left(\cup_{j=m}^{\infty} X_{j}\right) .
$$

Notation. We use the notation $M \stackrel{h}{\in} D$ to mean that $D$ contains a member homeomorphic to $M$.

Lemma 2. Let $X$ be a scattered countable metric space of finite length and let $D$
be a decomposition of $X$ into finitely many clopen molecules satisfying (*) of Theorem 3. Let $M$ be a clopen $A$-molecule of $X$ (not necessarily satisfying $M \stackrel{h}{\in} D$ ) with $A$ an atom. Then $M$ is absorbed by a member $N$ of $D$ with leng $(N)>\operatorname{leng}(M)$ or $D$ contains, as a member, an A-molecule of width not smaller than the width of $M$.

Proof. Let $\alpha=\operatorname{leng}(M)$. If $\operatorname{wid}(M)=\omega\left(\right.$ which is equivalent to $\left.\left|M^{(\alpha-1)}\right|=\omega\right)$,
writing $M^{(\alpha-1)}=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots\right\}$, decompose $M$ as $M=\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_{i}$ with $A_{i}$ a clopen atom homeomorphic to $A$ and satisfying $\left\{x_{i}\right\}=A_{i}^{(\alpha-1)}$. Since $|D|<\omega$, some member $N$ of $D$ contains countably many elements, say $x_{i}, x_{i,}, x_{i 3}, \ldots$, of $M^{(\alpha-1)}$. Put $M^{\prime}=\cup_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(A_{i j} \cap N\right)$. Then $M^{\prime}$ is a clopen molecule homeomorphic to $M$ and included in $N$. If leng $M=$ leng $N$ then $M \approx N$. If leng $M<$ leng $N$ then $M$ is absorbed by $N$ by the remark following Definition 3.

If $\operatorname{wid}(M)$ is finite, also writing $M^{(\alpha-1)}=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{\mathrm{k}}\right\}$, decompose $M$ as $M=\bigcup_{i=1}^{k}$ $A_{i}$ with $A_{i}$ a clopen atom homeomorphic to $A$ and satisfying $\left\{x_{i}\right\}=A_{i}^{(\alpha-1)}$. Take $N_{i} \in D$ so that $x_{i} \in N_{i}$, then $N_{i}$ includes a clopen atom $A_{i} \cap N_{i}$ homeomorphic to $A$. If leng $(M)$ $<\operatorname{leng}\left(N_{i}\right)$ for some $i$, then $N_{i}$ absorbs $A$ and hence $M$ because $\operatorname{wid} M<\omega$. If $\operatorname{leng}(M)=$ $\operatorname{leng}\left(N_{i}\right)$ for every $i$, then $N_{i}$ should be an $A$-molecule for every $i$. Since an $A$-molecule appeas at most once as a member of $D$, we have $N_{1}=N_{2}=\cdots=N_{k}$ so that $\operatorname{wid}(M) \leq$ $\operatorname{wid}\left(N_{1}\right)$. This completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1,2 and 3. We shall prove Theorem 1, 2 and 3 simultaneously by induction on $\alpha$. These therems are trivially true if $\alpha=1$. Let $\gamma$ be a finite ordinal and assume Theorem 1, 2 and 3 are valid for for every $\alpha<\gamma$. To first show Theorem 2 for $\gamma$, let $X$ be a scattered countable metric space of length $\gamma$ and $p$ a point of $X$. Let $p$ $\in X_{(\beta)}$ and, using 0-dimensionality, take a clopen set $U$ of $X$ so that $\mathrm{U} \cap X^{(\beta)}=\{p\}$.
If $\beta<\gamma-1$ then leng $(U) \leq \gamma-1$ so that induction hypothesis assures the existence of a clopen neighborhood $V$ of $p$ included in $U$ and self-similar at $p$. Thus we may assume that type $X=(\gamma, 1)$ and $\{p\}=X^{(\gamma-1)}=X_{(\gamma-1)}$. Let $X=U_{1} \supseteq U_{2} \supseteq U_{3} \supseteq \cdots$ be a clopen neighborhood base of $p$. Since leng $\left(U_{m}-U_{m+1}\right)<\gamma$ it follows from induction hypothesis that each $U_{m}-U_{m+1}$ has a decomposition $D_{m}$ consisting of finitely many clopen molecules and satisfying (*). Clearly each member of $D_{m}$ is of length less than $\gamma$. Now define a equivalence relation $\sim$ on the set $\{1,2,3, \ldots\}$ as follows : $m \sim m$ ' if and only if for each atom $A, \omega A \stackrel{h}{\epsilon} D_{m}$ is equivalent to $\omega A \stackrel{h}{\in} D_{m^{\prime}}$, and $n A \stackrel{h}{\epsilon} D_{m}, 1 \leq n<\omega$, is equivalent to $n^{\prime} A \stackrel{h}{\in} D_{m^{\prime}}, 1 \leq n^{\prime}<\omega .\left(n \neq n^{\prime}\right.$ may happen. $)$

Note that the number of equivalence classes by $\sim$ is finite because the number of atoms of length less than $\gamma$ is finte by induction hypothesis. We can thus take $l$ so that

$$
|C \cap\{l, l+1, l+2, \ldots\}|=0 \text { or } \omega
$$

for every equivalence class $C$.
We shall prove that $U_{l}$ is self-similar at $p$. For convenience let $U_{l}$ be renamed $X$, let
$U_{l+m-1}$ be renamed $U_{m}, m=1,2,3, \ldots$, and let $D_{l+m-1}$ be renamed $D_{m}, m=1,2,3, \ldots$ Let

$$
A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{k}
$$

be all the atoms of length less than $\gamma$ so arranged that if $i \leq j$ then $\operatorname{leng}\left(A_{i}\right) \geq \operatorname{leng}\left(A_{j}\right)$.
Recalling how we took $l$ we see that for each $1 \leq i \leq k$ one and only one of the following three cases occurs :
( $a_{i}$ ) $\omega A_{i}{ }^{h} \in D_{m}$ for countalbly many $m$ ' s .
( $b_{i}$ ) $\omega A_{i} \stackrel{h}{\notin} D_{m}$ for every $m$, and $n A_{i} \stackrel{h}{\in} D_{m}, 1 \leq n<\omega$, for countably many m's (with $n$ maybe varying).
( $\left.c_{i}\right) \omega A_{i}, n A_{i} \notin D_{m}$ for every m and $1 \leq n<\omega$.
Using Lemma 1 we shall remake $U_{m}$ and $D_{m}$ (at most) $k$ times as follows : First consider the case $i=1$. If $\left(c_{1}\right)$ occurs there is nothing to do. If $\left(a_{1}\right)$ does, apply Lemma 1 with $R=\omega A_{1}$ and $k_{m}=0$ or 1 to remake $U_{m}, m=1,2,3, \ldots$, so that $U_{m}-U_{m+1}$ has a decomposition $\tilde{D}_{m}$ satisfying :
(d) $\tilde{D}_{m}$ contains only one member homeomorphic to $\omega A_{1}$ and no member homeomorphic to $n A_{1}, 1 \leq n<\omega$.
(e) The members of $D_{m}$ coincide with those of $\tilde{D}_{m}$ except for $A_{1}$-molecules.

If ( $b_{1}$ ) occurs, apply Lemma 1 with $R=A_{1}$ to remake $U_{m}, n=1,2,3, \ldots$, so that $U_{m}$ $U_{m+1}$ has a decomposition $\tilde{D}_{m}$ satisfying :
(d’) $\tilde{D}_{m}$ contains only one member homeomorphic to $A_{1}$ and no member homeomorphic to $\beta A_{l}, 2 \leq \beta \leq \omega$.
(e') The members of $D_{m}$ coincide with those of $\tilde{D}_{m}$ except for $A_{1}$-molecules.
In either case, $\tilde{D}_{m}$ may not satisfy the latter half of the condition (*). To avoid unnecessary discussion, do not make a new decomposition of $U_{m}-U_{m+1}$ so that (*) is satisfied. Let $\tilde{D}_{m}$ be renamed $D_{m}$ again.

Repeat this modification (at most) $k$ times until ending at $A_{k}$, where $A_{k}$ is, of course, the one point space. Then the $U_{m}, D_{m}$ thus obtained satisfy the following:
(f) $D_{m}$ coincides with $D_{m^{\prime}}$ for every $m, m^{\prime}$ in the sense that there is a bijection $\Phi: D_{m}$ $\rightarrow D_{m^{\prime}}$ satisfying $M \approx \Phi(M)$ for every $M \in D_{m}$. In particular $U_{m}-U_{m+1} \approx U_{m^{\prime}}-U_{m^{\prime}+1}$ for every $m, m^{\prime}$.
(g) For each $1 \leq i \leq k, D_{m}$ contains at most one $A_{i}$-molecule, and this $A_{i}$-molecule is homeomorphic to $\omega A_{i}$ or $A_{i}$. (This is not necessary here but will be used later.)

It follows from (f) and Proposition 1 that $U_{l}$ (renamed $X$ ) is self-similar at $p$, which completes the proof of Theorem 2 for $\gamma$.

To use later let $D_{m}$ be finally modified so that (f), (g) and (*) hold simultaneously. Let $M_{1}, M_{2}, \ldots, M^{t}$ be all the members of $D_{m}$ arranged so that if $i \leq j$ then leng $\left(M^{i}\right) \geq$ $\operatorname{leng}\left(M^{j}\right)$. Define $D_{m}^{i}, 0 \leq i \leq t$, inductively as follows : $D_{m}^{0}=D_{m}$ and

$$
D_{m}^{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
D_{m}^{i-1} & \text { if } M^{i} \notin D_{m}^{i-1} \\
\left\{M^{i} \cup\left(\cup E^{i}\right)\right\} \cup\left(D_{m}^{i-1}-E^{i}\right) & \text { if } M^{i} \in D_{m}^{i-1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $E^{i}$ denotes the members of $D_{m}^{i-1}$ absorbed by $M^{i}$ and $\cup E^{i}$ denotes the union of members of $E^{i}$. Of course $M^{i} \cup\left(\cup E^{i}\right) \approx M^{i}$. Let $D_{m}^{t}$ be renamed $D_{m}$ again. It is easy to see that the new $D_{m}, m=1,2,3, \ldots$, satisfy (f), (g) and (*).

To show Theorem 1 for $\gamma$, let

$$
A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{k}
$$

be all the atoms of length less than $\gamma$ as above, and let $\rho_{\gamma}$ be the number of atoms of length $\gamma$. We shall prove

$$
\text { (\#) } \rho_{\gamma} \leq 3^{k}
$$

Note that we have already proved above that a scattered countable metric space $X$ of type $(\gamma, 1)$ with $\{p\}=X^{(\gamma-1)}$ includes a clopen set $U$ containing $p$ and admitting a clopen neighborhood base $U=U_{1} \supseteq U_{2} \supseteq U_{3} \supseteq \cdots$ of $p$ for which each $U_{m}-U_{m+1}$ has a finite decompsition $D_{m}$ into clopen molecules satisfying (f), (g) and $\left(^{*}\right)$. If $X$ is an atom of length $\gamma$ we can identify $X$ with $U$. Since $U_{1}-U_{2} \approx U_{m}-U_{m+1}$ for every m , the number of topological types of $U$ (and hence of $X$ ) is not greater than that of $U_{1}-U_{2}$. By $(\mathrm{g})$, the number of topological types of $U_{1}-U_{2}$ is not greater than $3^{k}$, where for each $1 \leq i \leq k$, the ' 3 ' corresponds to the three cases, $\omega A_{i} \stackrel{h}{\in} D_{1}, A_{i} \stackrel{h}{\in} D_{l}$ and $\omega A_{i}, A_{i} \notin D_{1}$. Consequently (\#) follows. This completes the proof of Theorem 1 for $\gamma$.

Remark. The inequality (\#) is far from a good estimate because the right side counts many impossible combinations of molecules with respect to the condition (*).

To finally show Theorem 3 for $\gamma$, let $X$ be a scattered countable metric space of length $\gamma$. Using 0 -dimensionality we have a discrete family $\left\{A_{x} \mid x \in X^{(\gamma-1)}\right\}$ of clopen sets of $X$ satisfying $x \in A_{x}$ for each $x \in X^{(\gamma-1)}$. By Theorem 2 we can assume $A_{x}$ is an atom of length $\gamma$. Gathering homeomorphic atoms, we obtain finitely many mutually disjoint clopen molecules $M_{1}, M_{2}, \ldots, M_{m}$ of length $\gamma$ such that $M_{1} \cup M_{2} \cup \cdots \cup M_{m}=\cup_{x \in X}^{(\gamma-1)}$ $U_{x}$, and for each atom A of length $\gamma$, an A-molecule appears at most once in $M_{1}, M_{2}$, $\ldots, M_{m}$. By induction hypothesis $X-\left(M_{1} \cup M_{2} \cup \cdots \cup M_{m}\right)$ has a decomposition $D$ consisting of finitely many clopen molecules of $X$ satisfying $(*)$. For each $1 \leq i \leq m$ define $E_{i}$ inductively as follows :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
E_{1} \text { is the members of } D \text { absorbed by } M_{1} ; \\
E_{i} \text { is the members of } D-\left(\cup_{j=1}^{i-1} E_{j}\right) \text { absorbed by } M_{i} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Define

$$
D^{\prime}=\left\{M_{i} \cup\left(\cup E_{i}\right) \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\right\} \cup\left(D-\cup_{i=1}^{m} E_{i}\right)
$$

with $\cup E_{i}$ denoting the union of members of $E_{i}$. Then $D^{\prime}$ is a desired decomposition of $X$ satisfying (*).

To check the uniequness let $D, D^{\prime}$ be two such decompositions of $X$ and suppose to the contrary that there is $\beta \leq \gamma$ admitting an atom A of length $\beta$ and an A-molecule $M$ such that

$$
M \stackrel{h}{\in} D \text { and } M \stackrel{h}{\notin} D^{\prime} \text {, or } M \stackrel{h}{\in} D \text { and } M \stackrel{h}{\in} D^{\prime} .
$$

We may assume the $\beta$ is the largest one satisfying these conditions. If, for instance, the former happens then $M \stackrel{h}{\in} D$ and (*) say that $M$ is not absorbed by any member of $D$ and hence of $D^{\prime}$ whose length greater than $\beta$. Thus by Lemma 2, $D^{\prime}$ contains an $A$-molecule $N$ satisfying wid $M \leq \operatorname{wid} N$ so that wid $M<$ wid $N$ because the equality would imply $M \approx N$. Then $N \in D$ and $\left(^{*}\right)$ say again that $N$ is not absorbed by any member of $D$ of length greater than $\beta$. Thus by Lemma 2 again, $D$ contains an $A$-molecule $L$ with wid $N \leq \operatorname{wid} L$ so that wid $M<$ wid $L$. This implies that two different $A$-molecules $M, L$ are members of $D$, which contradicts $(*)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3 for $\gamma$. We have thus finished the proof of Theorems 1, 2 and 3.

The following corollary is a key to counting the number of atoms of length 3 and 4 .
Corollary 1. Let $2 \leq \alpha<\omega$ and let $X$ be a scattered countable metric space of type $(\alpha, 1)$ with $\{p\}=X^{(\alpha-1)}$. Then $X$ is an atom if and only if $p$ has a clopen neighborhood base $X=U_{1} \supseteq U_{2} \supseteq U_{3} \supseteq \cdots$ satisfying :
(1) $U_{m}-U_{m+1} \approx U_{m^{\prime}}-U_{m^{\prime}+1}$ for every $m, m^{\prime}$.
(2) If we decompose $U_{1}-U_{2}$ into finitely many clopen molecules satisfying $\left(^{*}\right)$ of Theorem 3, then every member $M$ of the decomposition is of the form $M \approx \omega A$ or $M \approx A$ with $A$ an atom.
The topological type of $U_{1}-U_{2}$ is uniquily determined.
Remark. Condition (2) is indispensable for the uniqueness as the following trivial example shows : Let $X=[0, \omega]$ and $U_{m}=[m, \omega], U^{\prime}{ }_{m}=[2 m, \omega], m=0,1,2, \ldots$

Proof of Corollary 1. The 'if' part is assured by Proposition 1. The existence of such $U_{m}$ has already been verified in the proof of Theorem 2 above. To show the uniqueness let $U_{m}^{\prime}, m=1,2,3, \ldots$, be another such neighborhood base of $p$ and let $D$, $D^{\prime}$ be the decompositions of $U_{1}-U_{2}$ and $U_{1}^{\prime}-U_{2}^{\prime}$ respectively satisfying $\left(^{*}\right)$. We first prove the assertion that if $M \in D$ then $U_{1}^{\prime}-U_{2}^{\prime}$ includes a clopen set homeomorphic to $M$, and if $M^{\prime} \in D^{\prime}$ then $U_{1}-U_{2}$ includes a clopen set homeomorphic to $M^{\prime}$. In case $M$ is a single atom of length $\beta$, let $\{a\}=\mathbf{M}^{(\beta-1)}$, take $m$ so that $a \in U_{m}^{\prime}-U_{m+1}^{\prime}$ and take a clopen neighborhood $U$ of $a$ included in $M \cap\left(U_{m}^{\prime}-U_{m+1}^{\prime}\right)$. Then $U \approx M$ because $M$ is an atom.

It follows from (1) that $U_{1}^{\prime}-U_{2}^{\prime}$ includes a clopen set homeomorphic to $U$ and hence to $M$. If $M$ is not a single atom then, by (2), $M$ is of the form $M=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_{i}$ with $A_{i}$
mutually disjoint clopen atoms homeomorphic to a commom atom $A$ of length $\beta$. Write $M^{(\beta-1)}=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots\right\}$ with $x_{i} \in A_{i}$ for each $i$. Take $m$ so that $M \cap U_{m}^{\prime}=\emptyset$, take $k$ $<m$ so that $\left|M^{(\beta-1)} \cap\left(U_{k}^{\prime}-U_{k+1}^{\prime}\right)\right|=\omega$ and, writing $M^{(\beta-1)} \cap\left(U_{k}^{\prime}-U_{k+1}^{\prime}\right)=\left\{x_{i 1}, x_{i 2}\right.$, $\left.x_{i 3}, \ldots\right\}$, put $U=\left(\cup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_{i j}\right) \cap\left(U_{k}^{\prime}-U_{k+1}^{\prime}\right)$. Then $M \approx U \subseteq U_{k}^{\prime}-U_{k+1}^{\prime}$. It follows from (1) that $U_{1}^{\prime}-U_{2}^{\prime}$ includes a clopen set homeomorphic to $U$ and hence to $M$.

Quite similarly we can find a clopen set of $U_{1}-U_{2}$ homeomorphic to $M^{\prime}$. This completesthe proof of the assertion. Now suppose to the contrary that $U_{1}-U_{2} \not \approx U_{1}^{\prime}-U_{2}^{\prime}$ so that there is $\beta \leq \alpha-1$ admitting an atom $A$ of length $\beta$ and an A-molecule $M$ such that

$$
M \stackrel{h}{\in} D \text { and } M \stackrel{h}{\oplus} D^{\prime} \text {, or } M \stackrel{h}{\oplus} D \text { and } \mathrm{M}^{\frac{h}{\in}} D^{\prime} .
$$

Combined with the assertion above, this however leads to a contradiction in the same way as in the last part of the proof of Theorem 3. This completes the proof of Corollary 1.

The first easy application of Theorem 3 is the following.
Proposition 2. Let $X$ be a scattered countable metric space of type $(2, n), 1 \leq n<$ $\omega$. Then $X$ admits just $n+2$ topological types as follows :

$$
n r, n s, k r \oplus(n-k) s, 1 \leq k \leq n-1, \text { and } n r \oplus \mathrm{~N}
$$

with N the countable discrete space.
Note that a finite points space is absorbed by nr and $n s$, and that N is absorbed by $n s$ but not by $n r$.

Proposition 3. Let $X$ be a scattered countable metric space of type $(2, \omega)$. Then $X$ is homeomorphic to one and only one of the following spaces :

$$
\omega r, \omega s, k r \oplus \omega s, 1 \leq k<\omega, k s \oplus \omega r, 1 \leq k<\omega, \text { and } \omega r \oplus \omega s
$$

Note that N is absorbed by $\omega r$ as well as by $\omega s$ so that N does not appear in the decomposition.
3. Classification. Let us start with counting the number of atoms of length 3 .

Theorem 4. The number of atoms of length 3 is nine.
Proof. Let $X$ be an atom of length 3 with $\{p\}=X^{(2)}=X_{(2)}$ and let $X=U_{1} \supseteq U_{2} \supseteq U_{3}$ $\supseteq \cdots$ be a clopen neighborhood base of $p$ satisfining (1), (2) in Corollary 1. By virtue of the uniqueness of $U_{1}-U_{2}$ we have only to count the topological types of $U_{1}-U_{2}$. Let D be the finite decomposition of $U_{1}-U_{2}$ into clopen molecules satisfying (*). By (2) of Corollary 1, the molecules which may appear as members of $D$ are the following six :

$$
r, \omega r, s, \omega s, \text { the one point space and } \mathrm{N} .
$$

Searching the possible combinations of the six molecules satisfying $(*)$, we obtain the following nine topological types of $U_{1}-U_{2}$.

| atoms of length 3 | examples in $\left[0, \omega_{1}\right)$ | residues | note |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $X(r)$ | $\left[0, \omega^{2}\right]$ | $\emptyset$ | c, rh |
|  |  | N | lc, rh |
|  |  | $n s, 1 \leq n<\omega$ |  |
|  |  | $\omega r$ | lc, rh |
|  |  | $\omega s$ |  |
|  |  | $n s \oplus \omega r, 1 \leq n<\omega$ |  |
|  |  | $\omega r \oplus \omega s$ |  |
| $X\left(r^{\prime}\right)$ | $\left[0, \omega^{2}\right]-\{\omega(2 n-1) \mid 1 \leq n<\omega\}$ | $\emptyset$ | rh |
|  |  | $n s, 1 \leq n<\omega$ |  |
|  |  | $\omega r$ | rh |
|  |  | $\omega s$ |  |
|  |  | $n s \oplus \omega r, 1 \leq n<\omega$ |  |
|  |  | $\omega r \oplus \omega s$ |  |
| $X(s)$ | $\left[0, \omega^{3}\right]-\mathrm{C}_{\omega}$ | $\emptyset$ | rh |
|  |  | $n r, 1 \leq n<\omega$ |  |
|  |  | $\omega r$ |  |
|  |  | $\omega s$ | rh |
|  |  | $n s \oplus \omega s, 1 \leq n<\omega$ |  |
|  |  | $\omega r \oplus \omega s$ |  |
| $X(\mathrm{r} \oplus s)$ | $\begin{gathered} \left.\left[0, \omega^{3}\right]-\mathrm{C}_{\omega}\right) \cup \\ \left\{\omega^{2} n+\omega \mid n<\omega\right\} \end{gathered}$ | $\emptyset$ |  |
|  |  | $\omega r$ |  |
|  |  | $\omega s$ |  |
|  |  | $\omega r \oplus \omega s$ |  |
| $X(\omega r)$ | $\left[0, \omega^{3}\right]-\mathrm{C}_{\omega^{2}}$ | $\emptyset$ | rh |
|  |  | $n s, 1 \leq n<\omega$ |  |
|  |  | $\omega s$ |  |
| $X(\omega s)$ | $\left[0, \omega^{4}\right]-\left(\mathrm{C}_{\omega} \cup \mathrm{C}_{\omega^{3}}\right)$ | $\emptyset$ | rh |
|  |  | $n r, 1 \leq n<\omega$ |  |
|  |  | $\omega r$ |  |
| $X(\mathrm{~s} \oplus \omega r)$ | $\begin{gathered} {\left[0, \omega^{3}\right]-\left\{\omega^{2}(2 n-1)+\omega m \mid\right.} \\ 1 \leq n<\omega, m<\omega\} \end{gathered}$ | $\emptyset$ |  |
|  |  | $\omega s$ |  |
| $X(r \oplus \omega s)$ | $\begin{gathered} \left(\left[0, \omega^{4}\right]-\left(\mathrm{C}_{\omega} \cup \mathrm{C}_{\omega_{3}}\right)\right) \cup \\ \left\{\omega^{3} n+\omega \mid n<\omega\right\} \end{gathered}$ | $\emptyset$ |  |
|  |  | $\omega r$ |  |
| $X(\omega r \oplus \omega s)$ | $\begin{gathered} \left(\left[0, \omega^{4}\right]-\left(\mathrm{C}_{\omega} \cup \mathrm{C}_{\omega_{3}}\right)\right) \cup \\ \left\{\omega^{3} m+\omega^{2} n+\omega \mid m<\omega, \mathrm{n}<\omega\right\} \end{gathered}$ | $\emptyset$ |  |

Table 1

$$
\begin{gathered}
r, r \oplus \mathrm{~N}, s, r \oplus s, \\
\omega r, \omega s, s \oplus \omega r, r \oplus \omega \mathrm{~s}, \omega \mathrm{r} \oplus \omega s .
\end{gathered}
$$

Consequently the molecule N appears only in $r \oplus \mathrm{~N}=r^{\prime}$ because, in the other seven cases, N is always absorbed. This completes the proof of Theorem 4 .
Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
X(r), X\left(r^{\prime}\right), X(s), X(r \oplus s) \\
X(\omega r), X(\omega s), X(s \oplus \omega r), X(r \oplus \omega s), X(\omega r \oplus \omega s)
\end{gathered}
$$

denote the corresponding topological types of $X$.
Let $X$ be a scattered coutable metric space of type $(3,1)$ and $D$ the finite decomposition of $X$ into clopen molecules satisfying $\left({ }^{*}\right)$. By virtue of the uniqueness of $D$, to count the topological types of $X$ is to count the decompositions $D$. The decomposition $D$ is of the form

$$
D=\{A\} \text { or } D=\{A\} \cup\left\{M_{\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\right\},
$$

where A is homeomorphic to one of the nine atoms above and $\mathrm{M}_{\lambda}$ is a molecule of length less than 3. Let us call $X-A$ the residue of $A$. Each $M_{\lambda}$ is homeomorphic to one of the following :
$n r, 1 \leq n<\omega, \omega r, n s, 1 \leq n<\omega, \omega s, \mathrm{~N}$ and the finite points spaces.
Choosing the possible combinations among them so that $(*)$ is satisfied, we have Table 1 giving topological classification of the scattered countable metric spaces of type $(3,1)$. (Recall that, as stated after Definition 3, an atom $A$ of length 3 with $\{p\}=$ $A^{(2)}$ absorbs an molecule $M$ of length less than 3 if and only if $A$ includes a clopen set homeomorphic to $M$ and not containing $p$.) In the table, $C_{\beta}, \beta=\omega, \omega^{2}, \omega^{3}$, denotes the set of ordinals less than $\omega_{1}$ whose cofinality is $\beta$. The topology of each example in $\left[0, \omega_{1}\right)$ is that induced from the order topology on $\left[0, \omega_{1}\right)$. The symbols $\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{lc}$, rh mean respectivelly compact, locally compact, rankwise homogeneous. A scattered space $X$ is defined to be rankwise homogeneous if for each ordinal $\beta$ and $x, x^{\prime} \in X(\beta)$ there is a homeomorphism $\mathrm{h}: X \rightarrow X$ sending $x$ to $x^{\prime}$.

Let us go on to the type $(3, k), 1 \leq \mathrm{k}<\omega$. Let $A_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq 9$, denote in order the nine atoms of length 3 and for each i, $R_{i}$ the set of residues of $A_{i}$ listed in the table above. For example, $A_{1}=X(r)$ and

$$
R_{1}=\{\emptyset, \mathrm{N}, \omega r, \omega s, \omega r \oplus \omega s\} \cup\{n s \mid l \leq n<\omega\} \cup\{n s \oplus \omega r \mid l \leq n<\omega\}
$$

Theorem 5. Let $X$ be a scattered countable metric space of type $(3, k), 1 \leq k<\omega$.

## Then $X$ can be written uniquely as

$$
X \approx A_{i 1} \oplus A_{i 2} \oplus \cdots \oplus A_{i k} \oplus R
$$

where $1 \leq i_{1} \leq i_{2} \leq \cdots \leq i_{k} \leq 9$ and $R \in R_{\mathrm{i} 1} \cap R_{i 2} \cap \cdots \cap R_{i k}$.
In the case of type ( $3, \omega$ ), almost molecules of length less than 3 are absorbed and vanish.

Theorem 6. Let $X$ be a scattered countable metric space of type ( $3, \omega$ ). Then $X$ can
be written uniquely as

$$
X \approx \oplus_{i=1}^{\infty} X_{j} \oplus R,
$$

where $X_{j} \in\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{9}\right\}$ and

$$
R \in\{\emptyset, \omega r, \omega s\} \cup\{n r \mid n<\omega\} \cup\{n s \mid n<\omega\}
$$

$R=n r$ is possible only when $X_{j}=A_{3}$ or $A_{6}$ for every $j$,
$R=n s$ is possible only when $X_{j}=A_{1}$ or $A_{2}$ or $A_{5}$ for every $j$,
$R=\omega r$ is possible only when $X_{j}=A_{1}$ or $A_{2}$ or $A_{4}$ or $A_{8}$ for finitely many $j$ 's and
$X_{j}=A_{3}$ or $A_{6}$ for the other $j$ 's and
$R=\omega s$ is possible only when $X_{j}=A_{3}$ or $A_{4}$ or $A_{7}$ for finitely many $j$ 's and $X_{j}=$ $A_{1}$ or $A_{2}$ or $A_{5}$ for the other $j$ 's.
4. The number of atoms of length 4 . Let $\rho_{n}$ denote the number of atoms of length n . As verified before, $\rho_{1}=1, \rho_{2}=2, \rho_{3}=9$. Compared with $\rho_{3}$, the number $\rho_{4}$ is considerably large. In fact a rough calculation gives at least

$$
\rho_{4} \geq 3^{9}-1=19682
$$

This inequality is obtained in the following way. Let $X$ be an atom of length 4 with $\{p\}$ $=X^{(3)}$ and let $X=U_{1} \supseteq U_{2} \supseteq U_{3} \supseteq \cdots$ be a clopen neighborhood base of p satisfying (1), (2) of Corollary 1. Assume further that the finite decomposition $D$ of $U_{1}-U_{2}$ into clopen molecules satisfying $(*)$ contains no molecule of length less than 3 . Then the number of topological types of $U_{1}-U_{2}$ is $3^{9}-1$, the right side of the inequality, where foreach $1 \leq i \leq 9$, the ' 3 ' coresponds to the three cases, $A_{i} \stackrel{h}{\in} D, \omega A_{i} \stackrel{h}{\in} D$ and $A_{i}, \omega A_{i}$ $\stackrel{h}{\notin} D$.

To determine $\rho_{4}$, we should take account of the molecules of length less than 3 which may appear in the decomposition. Consider the following table.

| molecules | nonabsorbers |
| :---: | :--- |
| N | $A_{1}$ |
| $r$ | $A_{3}, \omega A_{3}, A_{6}, \omega A_{6}$ |
| $s$ | $A_{1}, \omega A_{1}, A_{2}, \omega A_{2}, A_{5}, \omega A_{5}$ |
| $\omega r$ | $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}, \omega A_{3}, A_{4}, A_{6}, \omega A_{6}, A_{8}$ |
| $\omega s$ | $A_{1}, \omega A_{1}, A_{2}, \omega A_{2}, A_{3}, A_{4}, A_{5}, \omega A_{5}, A_{7}$ |

Table 2

The molecules in the first column are those of length less than 3 which can appear as memebers of the decomposition of $U_{1}-U_{2}$. The second row, for example, means $A_{3}$, $\omega A_{3}, A_{6}, \omega A_{6}$ do not absorb $r$ but the others do.

Table 2 tells us :
(1) The following pairs of molecules can not appear simaltaneously as members of the decomposition of $U_{1}-U_{2}$.
$\mathrm{N} \& r, \mathrm{~N} \& s, \mathrm{~N} \& \omega r, \mathrm{~N} \& \omega s, r \& s, r \& \omega r, s \& \omega s$
Indeed, N and r have no common nonabsorber ; N is absorbed by $s, \omega r$ and $\omega s ; r$ and $s$ have no common nonabsorber ; $r$ and $\omega r$ are both r-molecules ; $s$ and $\omega s$ are both $s$-molecules.
(2) $r \& \omega s$ appear simultaneously only if $A_{3}$ appears and the others do not appear.
(3) $s \& \omega r$ appear simultaneously only if one or two of $A_{1}, A_{2}$ appear and the others do not appear.
(4) $\omega r$ \& $\omega s$ appear simultaneously only if one or two or three or four of $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}, A_{4}$ appear and the others do not appear.
(5) More than two molecules do not appear simaltaneously because one of them absorbs another.

Thus the number of the decompositions $D$ of $U_{1}-U_{2}$ satisfying (*) and containing at least one molecule of length less than 3 is

$$
1+\left(3^{2}-1\right)+\left(3^{3}-1\right)+\left(3^{2} 2^{4}-1\right)+\left(3^{3} 2^{3}-1\right)+1+\left(2^{2}-1\right)+\left(2^{4}-1\right)=412
$$

The first five terms correspond to the cases where only one of the five molecules

$$
\mathrm{N}, r, s, \omega r, \omega s
$$

appears. The last three terms correspond to the cases discussed in (2), (3), (4) above.
Adding 412 to 19682 we have
Theorem 7. $\rho_{4}=20094$.
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