

学位論文

L-histidine augments the oxidative damage
against Gram-negative bacteria by
hydrogen peroxide

谷山 多美子

L-histidine augments the oxidative damage against Gram-negative bacteria by hydrogen peroxide

TAMIKO NAGAO^{1,2}, HARUYUKI NAKAYAMA-IMAOHJI¹, MIAD ELAHI¹,
AYANO TADA¹, EMIKA TOYONAGA^{1,3}, HISASHI YAMASAKI⁴, KATSUICHIRO OKAZAKI³,
HIROKAZU MIYOSHI⁵, KOICHIRO TSUCHIYA⁶ and TOMOMI KUWAHARA¹

¹Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, Kagawa University, Miki,
Kagawa 761-0793; ²Faculty of Nursing, Shikoku University, Ohjin, Tokushima 771-1192;

³Department of Applied Bioscience, Faculty of Agriculture, Kagawa University, Miki, Kagawa 761-0795;

⁴Division of Biology, Hyogo College of Medicine, Mukogawa, Nishinomiya 663-8501; ⁵Advance Radiation Research,
Education and Management Center, Tokushima University, Tokushima 770-8503; ⁶Department of Medical Pharmacology,
Institute of Biomedical Sciences, Tokushima University Graduate School, Tokushima 770-8505, Japan

Received September 13, 2017; Accepted February 5, 2018

DOI: 10.3892/ijmm.2018.3473

Abstract. Excessive damage to DNA and lipid membranes by reactive oxygen species reduces the viability of bacteria. In the present study, the proliferation of *recA*-deficient *Escherichia coli* strains was revealed to be inhibited by 1% L-histidine under aerobic conditions. This inhibition of proliferation was not observed under anaerobic conditions, indicating that L-histidine enhances oxidative DNA damage to *E. coli* cells. Reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction analysis demonstrated that the expression of *recA* in *E. coli* MG1655 increased ~7-fold following treatment with 10 mM hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) plus 1% L-histidine, compared with that following exposure to H₂O₂ alone. L-histidine increased the genomic fragmentation of *E. coli* MG1655 following exposure to H₂O₂. In addition, L-histidine increased the generation of intracellular hydroxyl radicals in the presence of H₂O₂ in *E. coli* cells. Next, our group investigated the disinfection properties of the H₂O₂ and L-histidine combination. The combination of 100 mM H₂O₂ and 1.0% L-histidine significantly reduced the number of viable cells of extended-spectrum-β-lactamase-producing *E. coli* and multi-drug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and this treatment was more effective than 100 mM H₂O₂ alone, but this effect was not observed in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* or vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium*. The combination of L-histidine and H₂O₂ may be a useful strategy to selectively

increase the microbicidal activity of oxidative agents against Gram-negative bacteria.

Introduction

Aerobically growing bacteria utilize oxygen species for energy metabolism. Reactive oxygen species (ROS), including superoxide anions (O₂⁻) and hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), are generated during this process (1,2). These ROS impair cellular functions by damaging DNA, proteins, and lipid membranes. Aerobic bacteria have evolved oxidative stress responses, including enzymes to scavenge ROS (catalases, superoxide dismutases and alkyl hydroperoxide reductases) (3,4) and the *rec* system for DNA repair (5). However, oxidative stress that overwhelms the capacity of these stress response systems kills the bacteria. Therefore, oxidative antimicrobials are widely used for sanitation purposes in healthcare facilities.

A compromised DNA repair system has been reported to render microbes vulnerable to oxidative stress (3,5). In the present study, L-histidine suppressed the proliferation of *recA*-deficient *Escherichia coli* laboratory strains under aerobic conditions, but not anaerobic conditions. This indicated that L-histidine enhances the oxidative DNA damage of *E. coli* cells. L-histidine has been reported to enhance the genotoxicity of hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) in mammalian cells by increasing ROS production (6-9).

H₂O₂ is produced in hostile eukaryotic hosts (10) or from resident microbes (11), and eradicates pathogenic bacteria or competitors in the same habitat, respectively. H₂O₂ is a non-polar molecule that penetrates lipid membranes and oxidizes intracellular molecules (12,13). H₂O₂ generates hydroxyl radicals (OH·) through reacting with iron in a process known as the Fenton reaction (14). OH· is a powerful oxidant that reacts with a wide range of organic substances (1).

In previous decades, the microbicidal activity of H₂O₂ has been utilized for wastewater disinfection and the sanitation of hospital environments. For example, H₂O₂ vapor is used

Correspondence to: Dr Tomomi Kuwahara, Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, Kagawa University, 1750-1 Miki, Kagawa 761-0793, Japan
E-mail: tomomi@med.kagawa-u.ac.jp

Key words: hydrogen peroxide, L-histidine, Gram-negative bacteria, reactive oxygen species, DNA damage

for bedroom sanitation in hospitals, and treatment of rooms occupied by patients colonized with multidrug-resistant microorganisms (MDROs) reduces the risk of carriage of these MDROs by 64% (15). In addition, a combination of H₂O₂ and low concentrations of anionic and/or nonionic surfactants has been commercialized as a disinfectant for healthcare settings (16). H₂O₂ is recognized as an environment-friendly reagent that does not leave unfavorable environmental effects (17-19).

The main disinfection mechanism of H₂O₂ involves hydroxyl or hydroperoxyl radical production (20). The combination of H₂O₂ and metallic ions, including ferrous iron (Fe²⁺) or copper (Cu²⁺) enhances the disinfection potential via the Fenton reaction, or Fenton-like reactions (21). H₂O₂ combined with copper or silver synergistically enhances the disinfection efficiency of wastewater (22,23). In addition, modifications of the disinfection efficacy of H₂O₂ through combination with other components have been reported, including surfactant (16), chlorhexidine (24), iodine (25), sodium bicarbonate (26), hypochlorite (27), rifampicin (28), organic acids (29), neocropine (30), and UV-irradiation (31). In the present study, L-histidine was also demonstrated to augment the bactericidal activity of H₂O₂ against Gram-negative bacteria.

Materials and methods

Reagents. H₂O₂ (50% w/v in H₂O) and L-histidine were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA (Darmstadt, Germany) and Wako Chemicals GmbH (Neuss, Germany), respectively.

Bacterial strains and culture conditions. Laboratory strains of *E. coli* MG1655 (American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA, USA), JM109 (New England BioLabs, Inc., Ipswich, MA, USA), and DH5 α (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1 (Japan Collection of Microorganisms, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan) and clinical isolates of multidrug resistant *P. aeruginosa* (MDRP) TUP1 from human blood (Tokushima University Hospital, Tokushima, Japan), methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) TUM1 from human sputum (Tokushima University Hospital), extended-spectrum β -lactamase (ESBL)-producing *E. coli* KUM1 (corresponding to the isolate E6 reported by Uemura *et al.* (Kagawa University Hospital, Miki, Japan) (32), and vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* (VRE) FN1 (Dr Koichi Tanimoto, Gunma University, Maebashi, Japan) (33) were used in the present study. Glycerol stocks (-70°C) of these strains were streaked onto brain heart infusion (BHI; Eiken Chemical Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) agar plates, which were incubated at 37°C for 24 h in the dark. A single colony from the BHI agar plate was inoculated into 3 ml BHI broth and incubated aerobically at 37°C for 16 h. Then, 1 ml of the culture was centrifuged (10,000 x g, 5 min, 4°C), and the collected cells were washed once with 2.5 ml phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; pH 7.4). The bacterial cells were then suspended in 4 ml PBS and used as the inoculum in the bactericidal test. Ten-fold serial dilutions of bacterial suspension were prepared and 0.1 ml of this suspension was spread on BHI agar plates to enumerate the viable cell counts in the suspension.

Monitoring of *E. coli* cell proliferation in the presence of L-histidine. The *E. coli* K12 strain MG1655 and the *recA*-deficient strains JM109 and DH5 α were cultured in 3 ml BHI with shaking at 37°C overnight in the dark. Each overnight culture (30 μ l) was used to inoculate two sets of 3 ml BHI supplemented with or without 1% L-histidine. One of the tubes was grown aerobically with shaking at 37°C in the dark, and the other was grown anaerobically at 37°C in the dark, using an anaerobic chamber conditioned with mixed gas (N₂, 80%; H₂, 10%; CO₂, 10%). The proliferation of *E. coli* strains was monitored every 2 h by checking the optical density at 600 nm (OD₆₀₀) until 10 h following inoculation.

Reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) analysis of *recA* genes. Total RNA was extracted using the hot-phenol method (34) from the mid-logarithmic-phase cultures (OD₆₀₀, 0.4-0.6) of *E. coli* MG1655 strains with or without exposure to 10 mM H₂O₂ (30 min at 37°C) and/or 1% L-histidine in the dark. The RNA was further purified using the RNeasy CleanUp kit (Qiagen GmbH, Hilden, Germany) and was treated with TURBO DNA-free (Ambion; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) to remove contaminating DNA. Total RNA (400 ng) was reverse transcribed using a PrimeScript RT reagent kit (Takara Bio, Inc., Otsu, Japan) with random hexamers at 37°C for 15 min. Reverse transcription was terminated by heating the mixture at 85°C for 5 sec. The cDNA products were subsequently amplified using SYBR Premix Ex Taq II (Takara Bio, Inc.) under the following conditions: Preheating at 95°C for 10 sec, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 5 sec and 60°C for 34 sec in a StepOne Plus system (Applied Biosystems; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). The oligonucleotide primers used for monitoring *recA* expression were as follows: Forward, 5'-GTGAAGAACAAAATCGCTGC-3' and reverse, 5'-TCTGCTACGCTTCGCTAT-3' (35). All samples were run in triplicate. Threshold cycle values were normalized to the levels of *rrnH* transcripts, and changes were calculated using the 2^{- $\Delta\Delta$ C_q} method (36). The PCR primers used for *rrnH* were as follows: Forward, 5'-AGTCGAACGGTACAGGAAGA-3' and reverse, 5'-GCAATATCCCCACTGCTG-3'.

Assessment of DNA damage. *E. coli* MG1655 were statically incubated in 10 ml BHI for 16 h at 37°C in the dark. The culture was centrifuged (10,000 x g, 5 min, 4°C) and suspended in 10 ml PBS (pH 7.4) or PBS containing 1% L-histidine. H₂O₂ was added to the tubes (1, 10 or 100 mM) and incubated for 30 min at 37°C in the dark. Distilled water was used as a control in place of H₂O₂. Following incubation, chromosomal DNA fragmentation of the treated *E. coli* cells was assessed by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) as follows: Treated cells were embedded into 1% agarose to make sample plugs. The *E. coli* cells were then lysed within the agarose plug using the CHEF Bacterial DNA Genome kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., Hercules, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Genomic DNA was prepared *in situ* in agarose blocks. The DNA was resolved by PFGE in 1% agarose (SeaKem GTG agarose, Lonza Japan Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) in 0.5x Tris-borate-EDTA buffer with a CHEF-DR II system (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.) at 14°C under 6 V/cm of electric field. Pulse time was set to 1-30 sec for the first 17 h,

and changed to 5-9 sec for the last 6 h. Following electrophoresis, the gel was stained with 0.5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$ ethidium bromide for 20 min at room temperature and washed with distilled water. The length of the smear was compared between samples treated with distilled water or H_2O_2 (1, 10 or 100 mM) with or without 1% L-histidine.

Measurement of ROS. Intracellular ROS levels were measured using the OxiSelect Intracellular ROS assay kit (Cell Biolabs, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). *E. coli* MG1655 was cultured aerobically in 3 ml BHI to the mid-logarithmic phase and collected by centrifugation (10,000 \times g, 5 min, 4°C). The cells were washed once with PBS and resuspended in PBS containing ROS substrate at 37°C for 60 min. The cells absorbing ROS substrate were collected, washed once with PBS and resuspended in PBS containing 2% L-histidine. An equal volume of 20 mM H_2O_2 was added to the cell suspension and incubated for 10 min at 30°C. Following incubation, 2',7'-dichlorodihydrofluorescein (DCF) generated by the oxidative degradation of the substrate was monitored at 480 nm excitation/530 nm emission.

Free radical analysis using electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy. Generation of free radicals in *E. coli* MG1655 following exposure to H_2O_2 and L-histidine was confirmed using an EPR-spin trapping method at room temperature. The spin trapping reagent, 5,5-dimethyl-1-pyrroline-N-oxide (DMPO), was obtained from Labotec Co., Ltd. (Tokyo, Japan). The *E. coli* MG1655 cell suspension [0.1 ml; 5.6×10^8 colony forming units (CFU)] containing 2% L-histidine and 500 mM DMPO was incubated for 5 min at room temperature, and 0.1 ml 200 mM H_2O_2 was then added. After 5 min, the mixture was centrifuged at 2,000 \times g for 1 min at room temperature and the cell pellets were resuspended in 0.1 ml PBS. EPR measurement was performed at room temperature. The sample was transferred to three sections of glass capillaries (10 μl ; Drummond Scientific Co., Inc., Broomall, PA, USA) and set into the EPR cavity for the measurements. A Bruker EMXPlus EPR spectrometer (Bruker Corporation, Billerica, MA, USA) with an X-band cavity (ER 4103TM) was used to collect the EPR signal of the DMPO spin adducts. The typical instrumental conditions were as follows: 10 mW microwave power, 2.0-Gauss modulation amplitude, 0.08-sec time constant, 120-sec scan time, and 100-Gauss scan range. Hyperfine coupling constants and radical concentrations were obtained using the computer program Winsim (37).

H_2O_2 bactericidal test. The final concentrations of H_2O_2 used for the bactericidal test against Gram-negative (MDRP TUP1 and ESBL-producing *E. coli* KUM1) and Gram-positive (MRSA TUM1 and VRE FN1) bacteria were 100 and 200 mM, respectively. Each bacterial suspension (0.25 ml) was added to 0.5 ml of 2% histidine or sterilized distilled water. The suspension was mixed with 0.25 ml H_2O_2 (400 or 800 mM) and incubated at 25°C for 15 min. Following incubation, the mixture (15 μl) was transferred to 1.5 ml catalase solution (10 mg/ml; Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA) to inactivate H_2O_2 . Serial 10-fold dilutions of the mixture were prepared and 0.1 ml of each dilution was spread onto BHI plates in triplicate.

Following incubation of the plates at 37°C for 24 h, the number of surviving cells was calculated from the colony counts grown on the BHI plates.

Statistical analysis. Data are expressed as the mean \pm standard deviation. Statistical analysis of the data was performed with StatFlex 6.0 (Artech Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan) using one-way analysis of variance to compare the means of all groups, followed by Tukey's test to compare the means of two groups. $P < 0.05$ was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

Effect of L-histidine on the aerobic proliferation of *recA*-deficient *E. coli* strains. The MG1655 *E. coli* strain and the *recA*-deficient strains JM109 and DH5 α were cultured in BHI broth supplemented with 1% L-histidine. As presented in Fig. 1, *E. coli* MG1655 grew well in the presence of 1% L-histidine under aerobic and anaerobic conditions. In contrast, JM109 and DH5 α did not grow under aerobic conditions in the presence of 1% L-histidine, while they were able to grow under anaerobic conditions in the presence of this amino acid. As RecA plays a crucial role in DNA repair, these results indicated that L-histidine may induce oxidative DNA damage in the presence of oxygen, resulting in a lethal effect on the bacterial strains with impairments in the DNA repair system.

SOS response in *E. coli* induced by H_2O_2 . DNA damage induces the expression of SOS stress response genes in order to repair the injury. The *recA* gene is a representative SOS stress response gene. To assess the level of DNA damage induced by H_2O_2 in the presence of L-histidine, *recA* gene expression in aerobically grown, mid-logarithmic phase *E. coli* MG1655 cells was measured by RT-qPCR (Fig. 2). The relative *recA* expression levels following treatment with 10 mM H_2O_2 increased ~5-fold compared with the distilled water control. The combination treatment with 10 mM H_2O_2 and 1% L-histidine induced a ~7-fold increase in *recA* gene expression compared with 10 mM H_2O_2 alone. Treatment with 1% L-histidine alone did not increase *recA* expression.

Assessment of DNA damage following exposure to H_2O_2 . DNA damage induced by H_2O_2 and by the H_2O_2 /L-histidine combination in statically grown *E. coli* MG1655 cells was assessed by PFGE. As presented in Fig. 3, treatment of *E. coli* MG1655 cells with 1% L-histidine alone did not induce DNA damage. DNA degradation was not observed in the *E. coli* cells treated with 1 mM H_2O_2 regardless of the presence of L-histidine. In contrast, a clear difference in DNA degradation appeared when >10 mM H_2O_2 was used, as *E. coli* DNA was degraded more extensively when 1% L-histidine was combined with 10 or 100 mM H_2O_2 compared with H_2O_2 treatment alone.

ROS generation in *E. coli* cells following exposure to H_2O_2 . Intracellular ROS levels in *E. coli* MG1655 cells grown to mid-logarithmic phase under aerobic conditions and exposed to H_2O_2 were compared in conditions with or without




Figure 1. Effect of L-histidine on the growth of *E. coli* laboratory strains. Photographs of the *E. coli* culture tubes after 10-h incubation under (A) aerobic and (B) anaerobic conditions. The presence and absence of L-histidine in the media is indicated by + and -, respectively. Periodic OD₆₀₀ changes of *E. coli* culture under (C) aerobic and (D) anaerobic conditions. Circles, triangles, and squares indicate the strains MG1665, JM109 and DH5α, respectively. Solid and dotted lines indicate the absence and presence of 1% L-histidine in the media, respectively.




Figure 2. Relative quantification of *recA* gene expression in *E. coli* following exposure to hydrogen peroxide with L-histidine. The *E. coli* strain MG1665, grown to mid-logarithmic phase under aerobic conditions, was incubated in distilled water, 10 mM hydrogen peroxide, 1% L-histidine, or 10 mM hydrogen peroxide plus 1% L-histidine for 30 min at 37°C. The expression of *recA* relative to the distilled water treatment following exposure to the test reagents are shown. Samples are indicated as follows: H₂O, open column; H₂O₂, closed column; L-histidine, gray column; and H₂O₂/L-histidine combination, hatched column. Data are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation of three independent repeats. **P<0.01 vs. H₂O₂ alone.

1% L-histidine. As presented in Fig. 4, intracellular ROS levels were low without H₂O₂. Addition of 1% L-histidine alone did not induce ROS generation. In contrast, exposure to 10 mM H₂O₂ significantly induced ROS generation in *E. coli* MG1665

cells compared with the negative control. In addition, ROS levels in *E. coli* significantly increased when the cells were treated with a combination of 10 mM H₂O₂ and 1% L-histidine compared with the other groups.

EPR analysis. EPR analysis was performed to identify which types of free radical were generated in *E. coli* cells following exposure to 100 mM H₂O₂ and 1% L-histidine. As presented in Fig. 5A, a typical four-line EPR signal attributed to DMPO-OH spin-adduct with an intensity ratio of 1:2:2:1 (hyperfine splitting constant; $a^N=15.300$ and $a^H=14.549$ gauss) (38) was observed by Fenton reaction systems containing 20 mM Fe²⁺, 100 mM H₂O₂ and 500 mM DMPO. The EPR spectrum representing DMPO-OH was observed in *E. coli* cells exposed to 100 mM H₂O₂ and 1% L-histidine (Fig. 5B). On the other hand, this EPR signal was not observed in *E. coli* cells exposed to 100 mM H₂O₂ alone (Fig. 5C). These data indicated that L-histidine promoted intracellular hydroxyl radical formation by H₂O₂ in *E. coli* cells.

Effect of L-histidine on the bactericidal activity of H₂O₂ against Gram-positive MDROs. To examine the sensitivity of MRSA and VRE to H₂O₂, aerobically-grown overnight cultures of these MDROs were exposed to 100, 200 and 300 mM H₂O₂ for 15 min. As presented in Fig. 6, H₂O₂ reduced the number of viable MRSA cells in a dose-dependent manner, and 100, 200 and 300 mM H₂O₂ achieved reductions of 0.29 ± 0.07 , 0.58 ± 0.27 and 1.15 ± 0.85 log₁₀ CFU/ml in MRSA. H₂O₂ also reduced the viable numbers of VRE cells dose-dependently, and 100, 200 and 300 mM H₂O₂ achieved reductions of 0.31 ± 0.19 , 1.94 ± 1.22 and 2.97 ± 1.40 log₁₀ CFU/ml in VRE.

Next, the effect of the combination of L-histidine and 200 mM H₂O₂ on the viability of these Gram-positive bacteria




Figure 3. DNA degradation by H_2O_2 with or without 1% L-histidine in *E. coli*. Following exposure to the indicated concentrations of H_2O_2 for 30 min at 37°C, statically grown *E. coli* MG1655 cells were embedded in an agarose plug and enzymatically lysed. PFGE was performed to assess DNA degradation. The presence or absence of 1% histidine in the H_2O_2 solution is indicated by + or - above the lanes, respectively. Open and closed arrowheads indicate intact and spontaneously fragmented chromosomal DNA, respectively.




Figure 4. Intracellular ROS generation in *E. coli* induced by H_2O_2 and L-histidine. *E. coli* MG1655 cells were grown to the mid-logarithmic phase under aerobic conditions. After 10 min treatment with the indicated combinations of 10 mM H_2O_2 and L-histidine, intracellular ROS levels were evaluated by measuring the concentration of DCF. Data are expressed as the mean \pm standard deviation of three independent repeats. ** $P < 0.01$, with comparisons indicated by lines.

was assessed. As presented in Table I, no bactericidal effect was observed in the presence of 1% L-histidine alone. Addition of 200 mM H_2O_2 alone resulted in 0.89 ± 0.35 and 1.22 ± 0.74 \log_{10} CFU/ml reductions in MRSA and VRE, while 200 mM H_2O_2 with 1% L-histidine reduced cell numbers only by 0.40 ± 0.14




Figure 5. EPR spectrum in *E. coli* exposed to H_2O_2 and L-histidine. (A) Authentic spectrum of standard DMPO-OH. (B) EPR spectrum in *E. coli* exposed to 100 mM H_2O_2 and 100 mM L-histidine. (C) EPR spectrum in *E. coli* following exposure to 100 mM H_2O_2 alone. The bar indicates 20 gauss. EPR, electron paramagnetic resonance; DMPO, 5,5-dimethyl-1-pyrroline-N-oxide.




Figure 6. Sensitivities of MRSA, VRE, and PA to H_2O_2 . Aerobically grown, overnight cultures of the test strains were exposed to the indicated concentration of H_2O_2 for 15 min at 25°C, and surviving cells were then enumerated by plating on brain heart infusion agar plates and reported on a logarithmic scale. The reduction of viable cells was calculated by subtracting each value from the value of the distilled water control. Data are expressed as the mean \pm standard deviation of three independent repeats. * $P < 0.05$, with comparisons indicated by lines. MRSA, methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*; VRE, vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium*; PA, *P. aeruginosa* PAOI; CFU, colony forming units.

and 0.92 ± 0.63 \log_{10} CFU/ml, respectively. Thus, L-histidine did not improve the bactericidal effect of H_2O_2 against MRSA and VRE.

Effect of L-histidine on the bactericidal activity of H_2O_2 against Gram-negative MDROs. The bactericidal activities of 100 mM H_2O_2 against aerobically grown overnight cultures of MDRP or ESBL-producing *E. coli* were assessed in the presence or absence of 1% histidine (Table I). H_2O_2 alone achieved 0.24 ± 0.16 and 0.76 ± 0.08 \log_{10} CFU/ml reductions in MDRP and ESBL-producing *E. coli* cells, respectively.

Table I. Effect of L-histidine on the bactericidal activity of H₂O₂ against multidrug-resistant microorganisms.

Treatment		Organisms (Log ₁₀ CFU/ml reduction)			
H ₂ O ₂ (mM)	1% L-histidine	MRSA	VRE	MDRP	ESBL <i>E. coli</i>
-	+	-0.01±0.13 ^{a,b}	-0.01±0.09 ^{a,b}	0.00±0.12 ^{d,e}	-0.07±0.09 ^{d,e}
100	-	NT	NT	0.24±0.16 ^{e,f}	0.76±0.08 ^{e,f}
	+	NT	NT	1.12±0.36 ^{d,f}	1.14±0.02 ^{d,f}
200	-	0.89±0.35 ^{b,c}	1.21±0.74 ^c	NT	NT
	+	0.40±0.14 ^{a,c}	0.91±0.63 ^c	NT	NT

Data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation, obtained from three independent experiments. NT, not tested; MRSA, methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*; VRE, vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium*; MDRP, multidrug resistant *P. aeruginosa*; ESBL, extended-spectrum β-lactamase. ^aP<0.01 vs. 200 mM H₂O₂, ^bP<0.01 vs. 200 mM H₂O₂+1% L-histidine, ^cP<0.01 vs. 1% L-histidine, ^dP<0.01 vs. 100 mM H₂O₂, ^eP<0.01 vs. 100 mM H₂O₂+1% L-histidine, ^fP<0.01 vs. 1% L-histidine.

Addition of 100 mM H₂O₂ along with 1% L-histidine reduced cell counts by 1.12±0.36 and 1.14±0.02 log₁₀ CFU/ml in these bacteria, respectively. These results indicated that L-histidine significantly enhanced the bactericidal action of H₂O₂ against MDRP and ESBL-producing *E. coli* (P<0.01).

Discussion

In the present study, L-histidine supplementation in the culture media was revealed to suppress the proliferation of the *recA*-deficient *E. coli* laboratory strains JM109 and DH5α, but this amino acid did not influence the proliferation of strain MG1655, which possesses an intact *rec* system. In addition, this suppression was not apparent when the strains were cultured anaerobically. These results indicate that L-histidine may increase oxidative DNA damage to *E. coli*, because RecA serves a crucial function in DNA repair. This hypothesis was supported by the experimental data, which revealed that *recA* gene expression in *E. coli* MG1655 cells exposed to 10 mM H₂O₂ plus 1% L-histidine increased 7-fold compared with that in cells exposed to 10 mM H₂O₂ alone. In addition, DNA degradation was more evident in the *E. coli* cells exposed to 10-100 mM H₂O₂ plus 1% L-histidine than in cells treated with the same concentration of H₂O₂ alone.

The augmenting effect of L-histidine on H₂O₂-induced DNA damage may be mediated by a Fenton-like reaction initiated by the contact of oxidative agents with metal ions, generating ROS (21). Since the imidazole group in L-histidine is known to bind to metal ions, including Ni²⁺ or Co²⁺, this characteristic has been applied to histidine-tagged recombinant protein purification. Prior work has demonstrated that L-histidine increased the genotoxicity of H₂O₂ against Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells (8,9) and that this is dependent on the L-histidine transporting activity of the CHO cells (39). Therefore, metal ions may be co-transported inside the *E. coli* cells with L-histidine, and these metal ions may increase the H₂O₂-derived DNA damage by a Fenton-like reaction. In fact, our group detected hydroxyl radicals in *E. coli* cells following treatment with H₂O₂ and L-histidine, but an EPR signal was not observed when

the cells were treated with H₂O₂ alone. Schubert *et al.* (7) reported that L-histidine and H₂O₂ readily form a stable adduct. Their group indicated that L-histidine-peroxide adduct may enter bacterial cells more rapidly than H₂O₂ alone, or that the rate of breakdown of the adduct by catalase is slower.

Consistent with the augmenting effect of L-histidine on H₂O₂-derived DNA damage in *E. coli*, L-histidine increased the killing activity against Gram-negative MDROs: ESBL-producing *E. coli* and MDRP. Wide penetration of multidrug resistance (MDR) in Gram-negative bacilli is a serious, global public health concern (40). MDR in highly virulent bacteria including fluoroquinolone-resistant *Salmonella* (41) or ESBL-producing Shiga-toxigenic *E. coli* (42,43) is emerging. In addition, the dissemination of carbapenemase-producing *Enterobacteriaceae* has made it difficult to treat certain infectious diseases with antibiotics (44). Thus, transmission control of Gram-negative bacilli with MDR is an urgent issue. Disinfection techniques using a combination of H₂O₂ and L-histidine may contribute to the efficient eradication of these MDR Gram-negative bacteria from hospital environments. However, H₂O₂ is a highly reactive oxidizing agent and has genotoxic effects. Oosterik *et al.* (45) reported that nebulization of 2% H₂O₂ renders chickens more susceptible to avian pathogenic *E. coli*, potentially due to epithelial damage by hydroxyl radicals. The simultaneous use of L-histidine may decrease the H₂O₂ concentration necessary to eradicate Gram-negative pathogens.

In contrast to Gram-negative MDROs, L-histidine did not increase the microbicidal activity of H₂O₂ against Gram-positive bacteria (MRSA and VRE). The reason for this selective augmentation remains unclear but L-histidine transporting activity may be different between Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria. This selective effect of L-histidine on the bactericidal activity of H₂O₂ may be advantageous in certain instances, including during the elimination of foodborne pathogens (for example, *Salmonella* and pathogenic *E. coli* from fermented food made with lactic acid bacteria) or for bioremediation of contaminated soil by Gram-positive bacteria. The physiological benefit of resident microflora for human health has also been increasingly recognized. For example, a resident

skin microbe, *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, produces an Esp protease that degrades *S. aureus* biofilms, thereby conferring colonization resistance to MRSA (46). Thus, the use of the H₂O₂/L-histidine combination may be applicable for treatment of skin lesions, including decubitus ulcers infected with *P. aeruginosa*. H₂O₂/L-histidine is expected to exert enhanced toxicity against Gram-negative pathogens, while having a less detrimental effect on colonization resistance by normal resident skin flora.

In the present study, our group demonstrated the selective augmenting effect of L-histidine on the microbicidal activity of H₂O₂ against Gram-negative bacteria. This effect is potentially derived from DNA damage by ROS generated through Fenton-like reactions between H₂O₂ and metal ions bound to the imidazole group of L-histidine. The H₂O₂/L-histidine combination reduces the H₂O₂ concentration necessary to inactivate Gram-negative pathogens. In addition, this selectivity to Gram-negative bacteria may be useful in sanitation processes required to protect Gram-positive bacteria, including lactic acid bacteria in fermented foods or resident skin microbiota. Taken together, the results of the present study may provide valuable information to develop novel H₂O₂-based disinfection techniques.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Dr Koichi Tanimoto (Gunma University, Maebashi, Japan) for the gift of *E. faecium* FN1, and Mr. Hitoshi Yamaoka (Honbu Sankei Co. Ltd., Osaka, Japan) for technical assistance.

Funding

The present study was supported by a Grant-in-Aid from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science KAKEN (grant no. 16K12012).

Availability of data and materials

Data sharing is not applicable to this article, as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

Authors' contributions

TN and HNI analyzed and interpreted the effect of the test reagents on *E. coli* growth. AT and HNI performed *recA* qPCR and ROS measurement. ME and HY performed PFGE analysis. MH and KT performed EPR analysis. TN, ET and KO examined the bactericidal effect of test reagents. TN and TK were major contributors in writing the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

1. Imlay JA: The molecular mechanisms and physiological consequences of oxidative stress: Lessons from a model bacterium. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 11: 443-454, 2013.
2. Iuchi S and Weiner L: Cellular and molecular physiology of *Escherichia coli* in the adaptation to aerobic environments. *J Biochem* 120: 1055-1063, 1996.
3. Gort AS and Imlay JA: Balance between endogenous superoxide stress and antioxidant defenses. *J Bacteriol* 180: 1402-1410, 1998.
4. Park S, You X and Imlay JA: Substantial DNA damage from submicromolar intracellular hydrogen peroxide detected in Hpx⁻ mutants of *Escherichia coli*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 102: 9317-9322, 2005.
5. Touati D, Jacques M, Tardat B, Bouchard L and Despied S: Lethal oxidative damage and mutagenesis are generated by iron in delta fur mutants of *Escherichia coli*: Protective role of superoxide dismutase. *J Bacteriol* 177: 2305-2314, 1995.
6. Oya Y and Yamamoto K: The biological activity of hydrogen peroxide. IV. Enhancement of its clastogenic actions by co-administration of L-histidine. *Mutat Res* 198: 233-240, 1988.
7. Schubert J, Watson JA and Baecker JM: Formation of a histidine-peroxide adduct by H₂O₂ or ionizing radiation on histidine: Chemical and microbiological properties. *Int J Radiat Biol Relat Stud Phys Chem Med* 14: 577-583, 1969.
8. Sestili P, Cattabeni F and Cantoni O: The L-histidine-mediated enhancement of hydrogen peroxide-induced DNA double strand breakage and cytotoxicity does not involve metabolic processes. *Biochem Pharm* 50: 1823-1830, 1995.
9. Tachon P, Deflandre A and Giacomoni PU: Modulation by L-histidine of H₂O₂-mediated damage of cellular and isolated DNA. *Carcinogenesis* 15: 1621-1626, 1994.
10. McRipley RJ and Sbarra AJ: Role of the phagocyte in host-parasite interactions. XII. Hydrogen peroxide-myeloperoxidase bactericidal system in the phagocyte. *J Bacteriol* 94: 1425-1430, 1967.
11. Eschenbach DA, Davick PR, Williams BL, Klebanoff SJ, Young-Smith K, Critchlow CM and Holmes KK: Prevalence of hydrogen peroxide-producing *Lactobacillus* species in normal women and women with bacterial vaginosis. *J Clin Microbiol* 27: 251-256, 1989.
12. Seaver LC and Imlay JA: Alkyl hydroperoxide reductase is the primary scavenger of endogenous hydrogen peroxide in *Escherichia coli*. *J Bacteriol* 183: 7173-7181, 2001.
13. Seaver LC and Imlay JA: Hydrogen peroxide fluxes and compartmentalization inside growing *Escherichia coli*. *J Bacteriol* 183: 7182-7189, 2001.
14. Walling C: Fenton's reagent revisited. *Accounts Chem Res* 8: 125-131, 1975.
15. Passaretti CL, Otter JA, Reich NG, Myers J, Shepard J, Ross T, Carroll KC, Lipsett PL and Perl TM: An evaluation of environmental decontamination with hydrogen peroxide vapor for reducing the risk of patient acquisition of multidrug-resistant organisms. *Clin Infect Dis* 56: 27-35, 2013.
16. Rutala WA, Gergen MF and Weber DJ: Efficacy of improved hydrogen peroxide against important healthcare-associated pathogens. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 33: 1159-1161, 2012.
17. Ronen Z, Guerrero A and Gros A: Graywater disinfection with the environmentally friendly hydrogen peroxide plus (HPP). *Chemosphere* 78: 61-65, 2010.
18. Tang WZ and Tassos S: Oxidation kinetics and mechanisms of trihalomethanes by Fenton's reagent. *Water Research* 31: 1117-1125, 1997.
19. Yu Y, Chan WI, Liao PH and Lo KV: Disinfection and solubilization of sewage sludge using the microwave enhanced advanced oxidation process. *J Hazard Mater* 181: 1143-1147, 2010.
20. Oya Y, Yamamoto K and Tonomura A: The biological activity of hydrogen peroxide. I. Induction of chromosome-type aberrations susceptible to inhibition by scavengers of hydroxyl radicals in human embryonic fibroblasts. *Mutat Res* 172: 245-253, 1986.

21. Jung YS, Lim WT, Park JY and Kim YH: Effect of pH on Fenton and Fenton-like oxidation. *Environ Technol* 30: 183-190, 2009.
22. Aslani H, Nabizadeh R, Alimohammadi M, Mesdaghinia A, Nadafi K, Nemati R and Ghani M: Disinfection of raw wastewater and activated sludge effluent using Fenton like reagent. *J Environ Health Sci Eng* 12: 149, 2014.
23. Orta De Velásquez MT, Yáñez-Noguez I, Jiménez-Cisneros B and Luna Pabello VM: Adding silver and copper to hydrogen peroxide and peracetic acid in the disinfection of an advanced primary treatment effluent. *Environ Technol* 29: 1209-1217, 2008.
24. Steinberg D, Heling I, Daniel I and Ginsburg I: Antibacterial synergistic effect of chlorhexidine and hydrogen peroxide against *Streptococcus sobrinus*, *Streptococcus faecalis* and *Staphylococcus aureus*. *J Oral Rehabil* 26: 151-156, 1999.
25. Zubko EI and Zubko MK: Co-operative inhibitory effects of hydrogen peroxide and iodine against bacteria and yeast species. *BMC Res Notes* 6: 272, 2013.
26. Miyasaki KT, Genco RJ and Wilson ME: Antimicrobial properties of hydrogen peroxide and sodium bicarbonate individually and in combination against selected oral, Gram-negative, facultative bacteria. *J Dent Res* 65: 1142-1148, 1986.
27. Carlsson J, Edlund MB and Hanstrom L: Bactericidal and cytotoxic effects of hypochlorite-hydrogen peroxide mixtures. *Infect Immun* 44: 581-586, 1984.
28. Humphrey TJ: The synergistic inhibition of *Campylobacter jejuni* by rifampicin and hydrogen peroxide. *Lett Appl Microbiol* 10: 97-100, 1990.
29. Martin H and Maris P: Synergism between hydrogen peroxide and seventeen acids against six bacterial strains. *J Appl Microbiol* 113: 578-590, 2012.
30. Almeida CE, Felício DL, Galhardo RS, Cabral-Neto JB and Leitão AC: Synergistic lethal effect between hydrogen peroxide and neocuproine (2,9-dimethyl 1,10-phenanthroline) in *Escherichia coli*. *Mutat Res* 433: 59-66, 1999.
31. Shama G: Inactivation of *Escherichia coli* by ultraviolet light and hydrogen peroxide in a thin film contactor. *Lett Appl Microbiol* 15: 259-260, 1992.
32. Uemura M, Imataki O, Uchida S, Nakayama-Imahiji H, Ohue Y, Matsuka H, Mori H, Dobashi H, Kuwahara T and Kadowaki N: Strain-specific transmission in an outbreak of ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae in the hematooncology care unit: A cohort study. *BMC Infect Dis* 17: 26, 2017.
33. Fujita N, Yoshimura M, Komori T, Tanimoto K and Ike Y: First report of the isolation of high-level vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* from a patient in Japan. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 42: 2510, 1998.
34. Rocha ER and Smith CJ: Regulation of *Bacteroides fragilis* katB mRNA by oxidative stress and carbon limitation. *J Bacteriol* 179: 7033-7039, 1997.
35. Kim YS, Min J, Hong HN, Park JH, Park KS and Gu MB: Gene expression analysis and classification of mode of toxicity of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in *Escherichia coli*. *Chemosphere* 66: 1243-1248, 2007.
36. Livak KJ and Schmittgen TD: Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) method. *Method* 25: 402-408, 2001.
37. Oya-Ohta Y, Ueda A, Ochi T, Harada M and Yamamoto K: The biological activity of hydrogen peroxide VII. L-Histidine increases incorporation of H₂O₂ into cells and enhances formation of 8-oxodeoxyguanosine by UV-C plus H₂O₂ but not by H₂O₂ alone. *Mutat Res* 478: 119-127, 2001.
38. Duling DR: Simulation of multiple isotropic spin-trap EPR spectra. *J Magn Reson B* 104: 105-110, 1994.
39. Buettner GR: Spin trapping: ESR parameters of spin adducts. *Free Radic Biol Med* 3: 259-303, 1987.
40. Kaye KS and Pogue JM: Infections caused by resistant gram-negative bacteria: Epidemiology and management. *Pharmacotherapy* 35: 949-962, 2015.
41. Sjölund-Karlsson M, Folster JP, Pecic G, Joyce K, Medalla F, Rickert R and Whichard JM: Emergence of plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance among non-typhi *Salmonella enterica* isolates from humans in the United States. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 53: 2142-2144, 2009.
42. Bielaszewska M, Mellmann A, Zhang W, Köck R, Fruth A, Bauwens A, Peters G and Karch H: Characterisation of the *Escherichia coli* strain associated with an outbreak of haemolytic uraemic syndrome in Germany, 2011: A microbiological study. *Lancet Infect Dis* 11: 671-679, 2011.
43. Mellmann A, Harmsen D, Cummings CA, Zentz EB, Leopold SR, Rico A, Prior K, Szczepanowski R, Ji Y, Zhang W, *et al.*: Prospective genomic characterization of the German enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O104:H4 outbreak by rapid next generation sequencing technology. *PLoS One* 6: e22751, 2011.
44. Tzouveleakis LS, Markogiannakis A, Psychogiou M, Tassios PT and Daikos GL: Carbapenemases in *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and other Enterobacteriaceae: An evolving crisis of global dimensions. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 25: 682-707, 2012.
45. Oosterik L, Tuntufye HN, Janssens S, Butaye P and Goddeeris BM: Disinfection by hydrogen peroxide nebulization increases susceptibility to avian pathogenic *Escherichia coli*. *BMC Res Notes* 8: 378, 2015.
46. Iwase T, Uehara Y, Shinji H, Tajima A, Seo H, Takada K, Agata T and Mizunoe Y: *Staphylococcus epidermidis* Espinhibits *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilm formation and nasal colonization. *Nature* 465: 346-349, 2010.