

---

研究ノート

---

# Sufficient Conditions for the Weak Hawkins-Simon Property after a Suitable Permutation of Columns

Ravindra R. Ranade

Takao Fujimoto

**Abstract.** It is shown in Fujimoto-Ranade [5] that an inverse-positive real square matrix has the weak Hawkins-Simon property after a suitable permutation of columns. In a recent paper by Bidard [1], he proved that if the last column of the inverse of a real square matrix is strictly positive, the matrix enjoys the weak Hawkins-Simon property after a suitable permutation of columns. In this note, we present a series of sufficient conditions which bridge these two results, making use of the Gaussian elimination method.

**Keywords:** Weak Hawkins-Simon condition, Inverse-positivity, Jacobi's determinant identity

## 1 Introduction

The so-called Hawkins-Simon condition [8] requires that every principal minor be positive, and they showed the condition to be necessary and sufficient for a  $Z$ -matrix (a matrix with nonpositive off-diagonal elements) to be inverse-positive. Georgescu-Roegen [12] argued that for a  $Z$ -matrix it is sufficient to have only *leading* (upper left corner) principal minors positive, which was also presented in Fiedler and Ptak [4]. Nikaido's two books, [9] and [10], contain a proof based on mathematical induction. Dasgupta [3] gave another proof using an economic interpretation of indirect input.

In this note as in Fujimoto and Ranade [5], the Hawkins-Simon property is defined to be the one which requires that all the *leading* principal minors should be positive, and we shall refer to it as the *weak* Hawkins-Simon property (WHS for short). It has been shown by Fujimoto and Ranade [5] that the WHS property is necessary for a real square matrix to be inverse-positive after a suitable permutation of columns (or rows). Let us call this property the WHSaPC. In a recent paper, Bidard [1] has proved that if the last column of the inverse of a real square matrix is *strictly* positive, the matrix enjoys this WHSaPC. Bidard [1] contains also an interesting observation on some mappings on the real square matrices which preserve this property.

The purpose of this article is to give a series of sufficient conditions for the WHS property, which bridges these two results.

Section 2 explains our notation, then in section 3 we present our theorems and their proofs, finally giving some numerical examples in section 4.

## 2 Notation

The  $(i, j)$  element of a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , is written as  $a_{ij}$ , and the  $i$ -th element of a vector  $x$  as  $x_i$ . The  $j$ -th column of the matrix  $A$  is denoted by  $(A)_{*,j}$ , while  $(A)_{i,*}$  means the  $i$ -th row of  $A$ . The inequality signs for comparison of two matrices  $A$  and  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} A \geq B & \text{ iff } a_{ij} \geq b_{ij}; \\ A > B & \text{ iff } a_{ij} \geq b_{ij} \text{ and } A \neq B; \\ A \gg B & \text{ iff } a_{ij} > b_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

The same inequality signs are used for the comparison of two vectors.

We use the same notation as in Ouellette[11] and Galántai[7], and let

$$A \equiv \begin{bmatrix} E & F \\ G & H \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } A^{-1} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{E} & \widehat{F} \\ \widehat{G} & \widehat{H} \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $E$  is in  $\mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ ,  $1 \leq p < n$ , and  $H \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times q}$ ,  $q = n - p$ .

## 3 Propositions

What Bidard [1] shows is that if the last column of  $A^{-1}$  is strictly positive, i.e.,  $(A^{-1})_{*,n} \gg 0$ , then  $A$  has the WHSaPC. The main result in Fujimoto and Ranade [5] is that if  $A^{-1} > 0$ , then  $A$  has the WHSaPC. Using the proof method based upon the Gaussian elimination, we can give a series of sufficient conditions, bridging these two results.

We consider the following Condition (P):

(P): There exists a positive integer  $k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n$ ) and  $k$  positive reals,  $\alpha_j > 0$  for  $j = n - k + 1, \dots, n$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \text{(i)} & \sum_{j=n-k+1}^n \alpha_j \cdot (A^{-1})_{*,j} \gg 0, \text{ and} \\ \text{(ii)} & \text{if } k > 1, \text{ then } (A^{-1})_{*,j} > 0 \text{ for } (n - k + 2) \leq j \leq n. \end{cases}$$

This condition (P) requires that the sum of the last  $k$  columns of the inverse  $A^{-1}$  with positive weights should form a strictly positive vector, and the last  $(k - 1)$  columns of  $A^{-1}$  is nonnegative and nonzero. Any inverse-positive matrix satisfies this condition with  $k = n$  and  $\alpha_j = 1$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , and the Bidard's case is covered with  $k = 1$  and  $\alpha_n = 1$ . We can now have

**Theorem 1.** Let  $A$  satisfy the condition (P), then the WHS condition is satisfied when a suitable permutation of columns is made.

**Proof.** We proceed as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 of Fujimoto and Ranade [5]. That

is, we consider the linear equation  $Ax = b$ , and eliminate, step by step, a variable whose coefficient is positive. The existence of such a variable is guaranteed at each step by Condition (P) above. By performing a suitable permutation of columns if necessary, this coefficient can be shown to be positively proportional to a leading principal minor of  $A$ .

Because of Condition (P) above, we can choose a vector  $b$  such that  $b_1 > 0$  and the corresponding solution  $x \gg 0$ . Thus, there should be at least one positive entry in the first row of  $A$ . So, such a column and the first column can be exchanged. We assume the two columns have been permuted so that

$$a_{11} > 0.$$

Now we fix  $b_1$ , and in the second step, we divide the first equation of the system  $Ax = b$  by  $a_{11}$  and subtract this equation side by side from the  $i$ -th ( $i \geq 2$ ) equation after multiplying this by  $a_{i1}$ , to obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & a_{12}/a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1n}/a_{11} \\ 0 & a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21}/a_{11} & \cdots & a_{2n} - a_{1n}a_{21}/a_{11} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & a_{n2} - a_{12}a_{n1}/a_{11} & \cdots & a_{nn} - a_{1n}a_{n1}/a_{11} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1/a_{11} \\ b_2 - b_1a_{21}/a_{11} \\ \vdots \\ b_n - b_1a_{n1}/a_{11} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Notice that the (2, 2)-entry of the coefficient matrix above is

$$\frac{\begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{vmatrix}}{a_{11}},$$

and the corresponding entry on the RHS is

$$\frac{\begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & b_1 \\ a_{21} & b_2 \end{vmatrix}}{a_{11}}.$$

We continue this type of elimination up to the  $k$ -th step, fixing  $b_{k-1}$  of the previous step, and having at the  $(k, k)$ -position

$$\left| \begin{array}{cccc} a_{11} & \cdots & \cdots & a_{1,k} \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ a_{k,1} & \cdots & \cdots & a_{k,k} \end{array} \right|,$$

$$\left| \begin{array}{ccc} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1,k-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{k-1,1} & \cdots & a_{k-1,k-1} \end{array} \right|,$$

and the RHS of the  $k$ -th equation is given as

$$\left| \begin{array}{cccc} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1,k-1} & b_1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ a_{k,1} & \cdots & a_{k,k-1} & b_k \end{array} \right|,$$

$$\left| \begin{array}{ccc} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1,k-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{k-1,1} & \cdots & a_{k-1,k-1} \end{array} \right|.$$

The denominator of these equations is known to be positive at the  $(k - 1)$ -th step, and when  $b_k$  is large enough, the RHS of the  $k$ -th equation becomes positive. By Condition (P), especially thanks to Part (ii), we can again choose a vector  $b \gg 0$  such that the equation  $Ax = b$  has its corresponding solution  $x \gg 0$ . Thus, there is at least one positive coefficient in the  $k$ -th equation. Again, we assume a suitable permutation has been made so that the  $(k, k)$ -position is positive, giving

$$\left| \begin{array}{cccc} a_{11} & \cdots & \cdots & a_{1,k} \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ a_{k,1} & \cdots & \cdots & a_{k,k} \end{array} \right| > 0 \quad \text{for } k = 2, 3, \dots, n.$$

Therefore, our theorem is proved.  $\square$

Bidard [1] offers an interesting observation that three types of maps from  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  to itself keep the WHSaPC property. These are (1) lower triangular matrices with positive diagonal elements, (2) matrices with only one positive entry in each column and each row, and (3)  $(P_0 \cdot A^{-1})^t$ , where  $( )^t$  stands for transposition and  $P_0 \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is the matrix such that  $(P_0)_{i, n-i+1} = 1$  with the remaining entries being all zero. The third group comes out of the Jacobi's determinant identity, i.e.,  $|\widehat{H}| \cdot |A| = |E|$ . That is, when a matrix  $A$  has the WHS property, the matrix  $P_0 \cdot A^{-1}$  has also the same property. In words, a sufficient condition on the inverse of  $A$  for the WHSaPC, can be transformed to that on the matrix  $A$  itself, when the order of columns is reversed and transposed. Thus, we define the following condition (Q):

(Q): There exists a positive integer  $k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n$ ) and  $k$  positive reals,  $\alpha_i > 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \text{(i)} & \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \cdot (A)_{i,*} \gg 0, \text{ and} \\ \text{(ii)} & \text{if } k > 1, \text{ then } (A)_{i,*} > 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq k-1. \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 2.** Let  $A$  satisfy the condition (Q), then the WHS condition is satisfied when a suitable permutation of columns is made.

**Proof.** The third group in the observation of Bidard [1] is used together with our Theorem 1.  $\square$

Our conditions are, in a sense, still “too much” sufficient because what is required in the  $k$ -th step of elimination is the positivity of  $x_i$  only for  $i = k, \dots, n$ . The Jacobi’s determinant identity tells us that when  $A^{-1}$  is an  $M$ -matrix,  $A$  has the WHS property. Bidard [2] contains a necessary and sufficient condition for a real square matrix to have the WHS property. See also Fujimoto, Hisamatsu and Ranade [6] for Schur complements and its relationship to the Le Chatelier-Braun principle.

## 4 Numerical Examples

Consider the following 3 by 3 matrix and its inverse:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{2} & -1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & 2 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This matrix satisfies the condition (P) with  $k = 2$  and  $\alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = 1$ . After a permutations columns, the matrix is transformed to

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 2 & -1 & 0 \\ \frac{3}{2} & -1 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix},$$

which has the WHS property.

When the above  $A$  is mapped by the third group of Bidard, it becomes

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

After a permutation of columns, this becomes

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -2 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

which surely enjoys the WHS property.

## References

- [1] Bidard, Christian: "The Weak Hawkins-Simon Condition", T<sub>E</sub>Xscript, Department of Economics, University of Paris X-Nanterre, F-92001 Nanterre, France, (2005).
- [2] Bidard, Christian: "Characterization of WHS matrices", T<sub>E</sub>Xscript, Department of Economics, University of Paris X-Nanterre, F-92001 Nanterre, France, (2005).
- [3] Dipankar Dasgupta. Using the correct economic interpretation to prove the Hawkins-Simon-Nikaido theorem: one more note. *Journal of Macroeconomics*, 14:755–761, 1992.
- [4] Miroslav Fiedler and Vlastimil Ptak. On Matrices with nonpositive off-diagonal elements and positive principal minors. *Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, 12:382–400, 1962.
- [5] Fujimoto, Takao and Ravindra Ranade: "Two characterizations of inverse-positive matrices: the Hawkins-Simon condition and the Le Chatelier-Braun principle", *Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra*, 11, 59–65 (2004).
- [6] Fujimoto, Takao, Hiroyuki Hisamatsu and Ravindra Ranade: "Schur complements in Banach spaces", *Kagawa University Economic Review*, 77, 2004.
- [7] Galántai, Aurél: "Rank reduction and bordered inversion", *Mathematical Notes, Miskolc*, 2, 117–126 (2001).
- [8] David Hawkins and Herbert A. Simon. Note: Some Conditions of Macroeconomic Stability. *Econometrica*, 17:245–248, 1949.
- [9] Hukukane Nikaido. *Convex Structures and Economic Theory*. Academic Press, New York, 1963.
- [10] Hukukane Nikaido. *Introduction to Sets and Mappings in Modern Economics*. Academic Press, New York, 1970. (The original Japanese edition in 1960.)

- [11] Ouellette, Diane Valerie: "Schur complements and statistics", *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, 36, 187–295 (1981).
- [12] Nicholas Georgescu-Roegen. Some properties of a generalized Leontief model. in Tjalling Koopmans(ed.), *Activity Analysis of Allocation and Production* John Wiley & Sons, New York, 165–173, 1951.